Defense Exhibit 6

In the Matter Of:

Social Media Cases

JCCP5255

MOTION

March 22, 2023



CERTIFIED COPY

MOTION

```
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
              FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT 12
                             HON. CAROLYN B. KUHL, JUDGE
IN RE:
       SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT
ADDICTION
                                             CERTIFIED COPY
CHRISTINA ARLINGTON SMITH, INDIVIDUALLY
AND AS SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO
                                                JCCP 5255
LALANI WALTON, DECEASED;
HERIBERTO ARROYO, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO
ARRIANA JAILEEN ARROYO, DECEASED;
CHRISTAL ARROYO, INDIVIDUALLY, AND
JESSICA WILLIAMS, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO ZAIDEN
BALDWIN, DECEASED,
               PLAINTIFFS,
          VS.
TIKTOK, INC.; BYTEDANCE, INC.;
DOES 1 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE,
                    DEFENDANTS.
          REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
                 TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2023
APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
AMY ESKIN, ESQ.
CHRISTOPHER AYERS, ESQ.
CLINTON RICHARDSON, ESQ.
DAVIS VAUGHN, ESQ.
DEAN KAWAMOTO, ESQ.
FELICIA CRAICK, ESQ.
GLENN DRAPER, ESQ.
JONATHAN D. ORENT, ESQ.
KEVIN M. LOEW, ESQ.
LEXI HAZAM, ESQ.
MARC J. MANDICH, ESQ.
MARY LIU, ESQ.
NARMEEN NKEITI, ESQ.
SOU SEOK YANG, ESQ.
ANDREA PIERSON, ESQ.
DAVID P. KOLLER, ESQ.
GEOFFREY DRAKE, ESQ.
MATHEW BLASCHKE, ESQ.
```

Social Media Cases
JCCP5255, 03/22/2023
CERTIFIED COPY

```
1
    APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL:
 2
    TERESA GRIFFIN, ESQ.
    KELLY MCNABB, ESQ.
 3
    CHERISSE H. CLEOFE, ESQ.
    JONATHAN H. BLAVIN, ESQ.
 4
    CHRISTOPHER CHIOU, ESQ.
    VICTORIA A. DEGTYAREVA, ESQ.
 5
    JENNIFER EMMEL, ESQ.
    PAUL W. SCHMIDT, ESQ.
    ASHLEY M. SIMONSEN, ESQ.
 6
    TARIFA B. LADDON, ESQ.
 7
    JOSEPH VAN ZANDT, ESQ.
    JESSE CREED, ESQ.
 8
    LAURA MARQUEZ GARRETT, ESQ.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

MOTION

Social Media Cases

JCCP5255, 03/22/2023 **CERTIFIED COPY** Page 1 CASE NUMBER: 5255 1 2 CASE NAME: SOCIAL MEDIA CASES 3 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2023 DEPARTMENT 12 HON. CAROLYN B. KUHL, JUDGE 4 5 REPORTER: BUFORD J. JAMES, CSR 9296 (REMOTELY) 6 9:06 A.M. TIME: 7 8 APPEARANCES: (AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE) --000--9 10 (FOLLOWING PROCEEDING HELD IN OPEN COURT 11 IN OPEN COURT AND VIA L.A. COURTCONNECT) 12 13 14 THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, COUNSEL. WE'RE HERE 15 ON THE SOCIAL MEDIA CASES. WE HAVE HAD APPEARANCES OF THOSE APPEARING BY L.A. COURTCONNECT. LET ME REMIND 16 17 THOSE APPEARING BY L.A. COURTCONNECT THAT WE HAVE A COURT REPORTER IN THE COURTROOM SO YOU WILL NEED TO 18 19 IDENTIFY YOURSELVES IF YOU ARE SPEAKING. 20 AND LET'S HAVE APPEARANCES IN THE 21 COURTROOM, PLEASE, STARTING ON PLAINTIFFS' SIDE. 2.2 MS. MARQUEZ: LARA MARQUEZ GARRETT, SOCIAL 23 MEDIA VICTIMS LAW CENTER ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS. 24 MS. CLEOFE: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR, 25 CHERISSE CLEOFE FROM KIESEL LAW ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS. 26 MR. CREED: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR, JESSE CREED OF PANISH SHEA BOYLE RAVIPUDI ON BEHALF OF THE 2.7 28 PLAINTIFFS.

CERTIFIED COPY

1	MR. VAN ZANDT: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR,
2	JOSEPH VAN ZANDT FROM BEASLEY ALLEN ON BEHALF OF THE
3	PLAINTIFFS.
4	MS. MCNABB: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR, KELLY
5	MCNABB FROM LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN ON BEHALF
6	OF THE PLAINTIFFS.
7	MS. EMMEL: JENNIFER EMMEL FROM BEASLEY ALLEN
8	ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS.
9	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. FOR DEFENDANTS.
10	MS. SIMONSEN: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR,
11	ASHLEY SIMONSEN FROM COVINGTON & BURLING FOR THE META
12	DEFENDANTS.
13	MR. SCHMIDT: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR, PAUL
14	SCHMIDT, COVINGTON & BURLING FOR THE META DEFENDANTS.
15	MS. LADDON: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR, TARIFA
16	LADDON WITH FAEGRE DRINKER FOR TIKTOK AND BYTEDANCE.
17	MR. CHIOU: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR,
18	CHRISTOPHER CHIOU WITH WILSON SONSINI FOR GOOGLE,
19	ALPHABET AND YOU TUBE.
20	MR. BLAVIN: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR,
21	JONATHAN BLAVIN FOR MUNGER TOLLES ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT
22	SNAP.
23	MS. DEGTYAREVA: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR,
24	VICTORIA DEGTYAREVA FROM MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON ON
25	BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT SNAP.
26	THE COURT: OKAY. VERY GOOD. YOU CAN ALL BE
27	SEATED. AND MY REQUEST WOULD BE WHEN YOU ARE SPEAKING
28	

CERTIFIED COPY

```
THAT'S FINE, BUT THE MICROPHONE IS THE MOST IMPORTANT SO
 1
 2
    FOLKS ON THE LINE CAN HEAR YOU.
                                     THANK YOU FOR YOUR
 3
    JOINT REPORT. IT WAS VERY HELPFUL AND THOROUGH.
    A LIST OF ITEMS TO RUN THROUGH, AND THEN WE'LL CATCH UP
 4
 5
    WITH YOU IN CASE YOU HAVE SOMETHING TO ADD.
 6
                   SO WITH RESPECT TO THE ADD-ON CASES, JUST
 7
    TO KEEP TRACK OF EVERYTHING. WE CORRECTED THE CASE
 8
    NUMBER FOR THE NU VERSUS META CASE, THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY
 9
    CASE, AND THAT WAS CORRECTED IN THE MINUTE ORDER OF
10
    FEBRUARY 23. THERE WAS A PROPOSED ORDER SUBMITTED TO
11
    ADD ON BOYD VERSUS META. THAT WAS FILED JANUARY 27.
12
    DID NOT SIGN THAT ORDER BECAUSE THAT CASE WAS ORDERED TO
13
    BE ADDED ON IN THE FEBRUARY 17, 2023 MINUTE ORDER.
                          AND THEN ATTACHED TO THE JOINT
14
                   OKAY.
15
    STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT FOR THIS TIME, FOR THIS
    DAY'S STATUS CONFERENCE, WAS A PROPOSED ORDER TO ADD ON
16
17
    SEVERAL ADDITIONAL CASES, AND THAT ORDER INCLUDED THE
18
    TWO CASES THAT ARE ON THE CALENDAR FOR TODAY.
                                                    AND I
19
    HAVE SIGNED THAT PROPOSED ORDER AND IT WILL BE FILED.
20
    AND THE CLERK IS GOING TO ISSUE ORDERS FINDING THAT EACH
21
    OF THE ADD-ON CASES IS COMPLEX AND ASSESSING THE COMPLEX
2.2
    FILING FEE.
                 SO I HAD A STAND-IN JA LAST TIME WHO WASN'T
23
    AS FAMILIAR WITH THE COORDINATED CASE PROCEEDINGS SO
24
    THAT DIDN'T HAPPEN, BUT YOU'LL SEE THOSE MINUTE ORDERS
25
    COMING OUT FOR EACH CASE.
26
                          ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT ADD-ON CASES?
                   OKAY.
2.7
    AND, AGAIN, THANK YOU FOR KEEPING TRACK.
                                               IT'S VERY
28
    HELPFUL. IF YOU ARE CURIOUS, THE REASON WHY THEY GET ON
```

CERTIFIED COPY

1	CALENDAR SOMETIMES IS THAT IF JUDGE CUNNINGHAM AS THE
2	ASSISTANT SUPERVISING JUDGE FOR COMPLEX, IF HE RECEIVES
3	A CASE WHERE THE BOX HAS BEEN CHECKED FOR COMPLEX, HE
4	REVIEWS THAT CASE TO DETERMINE WHETHER IT'S COMPLEX OR
5	NOT AND THEN ASSIGNS IT. IF HE SEES THAT IT IS THE
6	DEFENDANT'S THAT ARE INVOLVED IN THE SOCIAL MEDIA CASES,
7	HE WILL THEN ASSIGN IT TO ME, BUT HIS ASSIGNMENT TO ME
8	DOESN'T ADD THE CASE ON. OKAY. THAT'S WHY SOMETIMES
9	THEY APPEAR ON CALENDAR AND SOMETIMES, THEY DON'T.
10	OKAY. REGARDING LEADERSHIP STRUCTURE. I
11	HAVE SIGNED CMO NUMBER ONE REGARDING THE PLAINTIFFS
12	LEADERSHIP STRUCTURE. THANK YOU FOR ORGANIZING THAT.
13	AND I SIGNED CMO NUMBER THREE REGARDING DEFENSE LIAISON
14	COUNSEL. THANK YOU FOR THAT. AND THAT I SIGNED
15	YESTERDAY, I THINK, SO THAT WILL BE FILED.
16	OKAY. SO MOVING TO BEYOND HOUSEKEEPING,
17	SO TO SPEAK, THERE WAS ONE THING THAT I MENTIONED LAST
18	TIME THAT DID NOT GET HANDLED, AND I WOULD LIKE TO RAISE
19	IT AGAIN. WE TALKED ABOUT A PROPOSED ORDER BEING
20	PREPARED AND LODGED ALLOWING THE MINOR PLAINTIFFS IN THE
21	JCCP TO APPEAR PSEUDONYMOUSLY. I MENTIONED THERE IS
22	RELATIVELY NEW CALIFORNIA AUTHORITY THAT SAYS THAT THE
23	COURT HAS TO MAKE A FINDING IN ORDER FOR FOLKS TO BE
24	ABLE TO FILE CASES BY NOT HAVING FULL IDENTIFICATION.
25	GO AHEAD.
26	MS. CLEOFE: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR,
27	CHERISSE CLEOFE ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS. THE PARTIES
28	HAVE BEEN DISCUSSING A DRAFT ORDER. WE EXPECT TO FILE

MOTION

Page 5

Social Media Cases JCCP5255, 03/22/2023 CERTIFIED COPY

THAT DEFINITELY BY THE END OF THIS WEEK BUT PERHAPS AS 1 2 SOON AS THIS AFTERNOON. 3 WONDERFUL. AND I AM GOING TO PUT THE COURT: 4 IN TODAY'S MINUTE ORDER THAT IT SHOULD BE SUBMITTED WITHIN 10 DAYS, OKAY, GIVE YOU TEN DAYS TO GET THAT 5 DONE. AND PLEASE CITE THE CASES THAT WAS REFERENCED 6 7 LAST TIME. THANK YOU. 8 MS. CLEOFE: YES, YOUR HONOR. 9 THE COURT: OKAY. FOR CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER 10 NUMBER TWO WHICH I HAVE NOT YET SIGNED, AND I'LL TELL 11 IT FIRST ADDRESSES THE MASTER COMPLAINT AND THE SHORT FORM COMPLAINTS AND THE SCHEDULE PROPOSED FOR 12 13 NUMBERS ONE THROUGH SIX AS ACCEPTABLE. SO THAT GOES 14 THROUGH THE PROCESS OF THE MASTER COMPLAINT, THE SHORT 15 FORM COMPLAINTS, PLAINTIFFS SELECTING THREE CASES TO BE THE BASIS OF THE DEMURRERS. 16 17 AND THE GOOD THING FROM MY STANDPOINT 18 ABOUT THAT PART OF THE SCHEDULE IS THAT IT TEES THE 19 CASES UP PRIOR TO THE TIME WHEN -- WELL, JUST PRIOR TO 20 THE TIME WHEN WE EXPECT FOR THE GONZALEZ VERSUS GOOGLE 21 CASE TO BE DECIDED BY THE SUPREME COURT. SO I KNOW THAT 2.2 IN THE MDL YOU ARE DOING ADVANCE BRIEFING ON DEMURRERS, 23 AND OF COURSE WE GOT STARTED WITH THIS COORDINATION 24 SEVERAL MONTHS AFTER THE MDL WAS PUT TOGETHER SO WE'RE A 25 LITTLE BIT BEHIND, BUT THIS WILL ALLOW US JUST TO HAVE 26 ONE SET OF BRIEFING AFTER GONZALEZ VERSUS GOOGLE IS 2.7 DECIDED OR NOT DECIDED. I HAVE A THEORY THAT DISMISSAL

IS IMPROVIDENTLY GRANTED, BUT WE'LL SEE IF I AM RIGHT.

28

Social Media Cases MOTION JCCP5255, 03/22/2023 CERTIFIED COPY Page 6

1 IT DOESN'T MATTER. WE'LL KNOW ONE WAY OR THE OTHER AT 2 THAT POINT.

3 OKAY. SO WITH RESPECT TO THE PLEADING

4 CHALLENGES AND THE SCHEDULE FOR THAT, THAT'S WHAT I

5 WANTED TO TALK TO YOU BEFORE I SIGNED CMO NUMBER TWO.

6 SO LET ME FIRST ASK YOU, HAVING HAD SOME TIME TO THINK

ABOUT IT, IS IT STILL MAKING SENSE TO YOU THAT WE WILL

BRIEF THE PLEADING CHALLENGES ON THE BASIS OF THREE

9 CASES IN THE WAY THAT WE'RE PLANNING TO? IS THAT MAKING

10 | SENSE TO EVERYBODY?

11 MR. CREED: IT DOES FOR THE PLAINTIFFS, YOUR

12 | HONOR.

7

8

13 MR. SCHMIDT: YOUR HONOR, PAUL SCHMIDT FOR

14 META. WE ARE PREPARED TO PROCEED ON THAT BASIS. IT

15 DOES CREATE A DISCONNECT BETWEEN THE WAY YOUR HONOR IS

16 ADDRESSING THE DEMURRER AND THE WAY JUDGE GONZALEZ

17 ROGERS IS ADDRESSING THE MOTION TO DISMISS. AND WE HAVE

18 | BEEN ASSUMING THAT SOME OF THAT WOULD BE SMOOTHED OVER

19 BY THE FACT THAT WHEN PLAINTIFFS ELECT THEIR CASES THEY

20 | WILL HAVE SOME FOCUS ON CALIFORNIA PLAINTIFFS AS OPPOSED

21 | TO IDIOSYNCRATIC -- OTHER STATES THAT MIGHT BE BEFORE A

22 | COURT, BUT THAT COULD BECOME A BIT OF AN ISSUE IF THAT

23 | CIRCUMSTANCE ARISES IF THEY PICK NON-CALIFORNIA

24 | PLAINTIFFS.

25 THE COURT: OKAY. I CAN'T IMAGINE THAT YOU

26 | WOULD PICK ALL NON-CALIFORNIA PLAINTIFFS.

27 MR. CREED: I BELIEVE AT THIS POINT ALL THE

28 | PLAINTIFFS IN THESE JCCP ARE CALIFORNIA PLAINTIFFS

Social Media Cases MOTION JCCP5255, 03/22/2023 CERTIFIED COPY Page 7

1 | ALTHOUGH THAT MIGHT CHANGE OR MAY HAVE ALREADY CHANGED,

- 2 | THE LAST TIME I CHECKED.
- THE COURT: OKAY. MR. SCHMIDT IS SHAKING HIS
- 4 | HEAD.
- 5 MR. SCHMIDT: YES, THAT'S NOT OUR
- 6 UNDERSTANDING, BUT YOU KNOW YOUR PLAINTIFFS BETTER THAN
- 7 WE DO. WE HAD TAUGHT FROM LOOKING AT IT THAT THE
- 8 MAJORITY WERE CALIFORNIA, BUT THERE WERE A RANGE FROM
- 9 OTHER STATES, BUT YOU WOULD KNOW BETTER THAN US.
- 10 MR. CREED: YEAH, I THINK THE LAST WE DID,
- 11 | THERE WERE MOSTLY CALIFORNIA, AND I BELIEVE I -- IF IT
- 12 | HAS CHANGED SINCE THEN. I BELIEVE THE LAST WE DID THEY
- 13 | WERE ALL CALIFORNIA, BUT I THINK THEY HAVE CHANGED.
- 14 | THERE HAS BEEN SOME THAT ARE NON-CALIFORNIA. I'M AWARE
- 15 | THERE WILL BE MORE NON-CALIFORNIA PLAINTIFFS TO BE FILED
- 16 IN THIS PROCEEDING.
- 17 | THE COURT: OKAY. THE REASON I WANTED TO
- 18 BRIEF THE ENTIRETY OF A COMPLAINT OR, AS WE'RE GOING TO
- 19 DO IT, THREE COMPLAINTS IS BECAUSE OF THE STRICTURES ON
- 20 | DEMURRERS IN CALIFORNIA. I JUST -- MY INSTINCT WAS WE
- 21 | COULD GET HUNG UP IF WE DIDN'T DO AN ENTIRE COMPLAINT.
- 22 | AND I REALIZE THAT JUDGE GONZALEZ ROGERS, WITH WHOM I
- 23 | HAVE SPOKEN BY THE WAY, HAS IT SET UP DIFFERENTLY, BUT
- 24 | 12(B)(6) IS DIFFERENT TOO, QUITE DIFFERENT, FRANKLY. SO
- 25 | BEING AWARE OF HOW IT'S BEING DONE IN FEDERAL COURT, I
- 26 | THINK I'M SATISFIED THAT THIS IS A BETTER WAY FOR STATE
- 27 | COURT.
- 28 AND THE OTHER THING ABOUT THE WAY THE MDL

CERTIFIED COPY

MOTION Page 8

IS DOING IT, OBVIOUSLY, THEY HAVE MORE THAN ONE STATE'S 1 2 LAW TO HANDLE AND THAT WILL BE A COMPLEXITY, BUT ALSO 3 JUDGE GONZALEZ ROGERS IS ONLY DOING FIVE CAUSES OF ACTION, AS I UNDERSTAND IT. SO I AM FINE, BY THE WAY, 4 5 STRUCTURING THIS CASE SO THAT JUDGE GONZALEZ ROGERS 6 DECIDES FIRST, AND I'M FINE WITH THAT. I DON'T KNOW 7 THAT IT'S NECESSARY, BUT WE'LL SEE. WE'LL SEE HOW IT WORKS OUT. I'M KIND OF THINKING THAT THIS WILL BE 8 9 STRUCTURED SO SHE'S LIKELY TO DECIDE BEFORE I DO, WHICH 10 I AM DEFERENTIAL. THAT'S FINE. OKAY. AND I DON'T HAVE 11 TO FOLLOW, AS YOU KNOW. 12 SO GOING BACK THEN TO THE SCHEDULE -- I 13 MEAN, THE REPLY BRIEF WOULD BE FILED IN OCTOBER, AND I 14 JUST WOULD RATHER GET ON WITH THIS A LITTLE MORE OUICKLY 15 IF WE COULD. BUT, ON THE OTHER HAND, IT'S VERY 16 COMPLICATED, AND THE SCHEDULE AS PROPOSED GIVES EACH 17 SIDE ABOUT A MONTH. SO IF WE ASSUME, YOU KNOW, THAT THE 18 SUPREME COURT BEFORE IT GOES ON VACATION DECIDES 19 THEIR -- OR IT DOESN'T DECIDE THEIR CASE IN GONZALEZ 20 VERSUS GOOGLE, DEFENDANTS WOULD GET ABOUT A MONTH TO 21 ABSORB THAT AND FILE AND THEN A MONTH AND A MONTH. 2.2 IT'S JUST A LITTLE LONG, FRANKLY, BUT YOU LET ME KNOW 23 WHAT YOU THINK. THOUGHTS? 24 MR. CREED: WELL, IT'S FINE, YOUR HONOR. 25 BEFORE WE GOT HERE, I SAW THE ORDER WASN'T ENTERED AND I 26 THOUGHT -- I ACTUALLY SPECULATED IT WAS BECAUSE YOU THOUGHT IT WAS A LITTLE LONG. WE'RE FINE SHORTENING IT. 2.7 28 I THINK THE CHALLENGE WILL BE FOR DEFENDANTS TO PREPARE

CERTIFIED COPY

1	THEIR INITIAL DEMURRER WHICH THEY ARE THE MOVING PARTY,
2	BUT WE'RE FINE SHORTENING OUR REPLY TO A MONTH INSTEAD
3	OF SIX WEEKS.
4	MR. SCHMIDT: I THINK FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE,
5	YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD PREFER HAVING A GOOD BLOCK OF TIME
6	AFTER THEY IDENTIFY THEIR THREE CASES. I DON'T WANT TO
7	JAM THE PLAINTIFFS UP, BUT IF THEY IDENTIFY THEIR THREE
8	CASES EARLIER WE COULD PROBABLY MOVE UP OUR DEMURRER
9	DATE A WEEK OR TWO EARLIER. AND THAT WOULD PULL
10	EVERYTHING ALONG IN ADDITION TO SHORTENING WHICH
11	MR. CREED SUGGESTED, BUT WE CAN PROCEED HOWEVER YOUR
12	HONOR DEEMS BEST.
13	THE COURT: RIGHT. SO, YOU KNOW, MAYBE THE
14	PLAINTIFFS COULD DO THAT LITTLE BIT EARLIER. ON THE
15	OTHER HAND, YOU KNOW, WE MIGHT NOT HAVE GONZALEZ VERSUS
16	GOOGLE UNTIL JULY 1 OR JULY 3, OR THEY ALWAYS GET IT
17	DONE BY THE 4TH OF JULY.
18	MR. CREED: YOUR HONOR, I THINK THAT I'LL
19	NEED TO CONFER WITH OTHER PLAINTIFFS COUNSEL, BUT I
20	SUSPECT THAT THE GONZALEZ DECISION AND SECTION 230 IS
21	NOT GOING TO IMPACT TOO MUCH WHICH PLAINTIFFS WE
22	IDENTIFY. SO WE I CAN CONFER WITH OTHER PLAINTIFFS
23	COUNSEL AND SEE IF WE CAN IDENTIFY WHOLE COMPLAINTS THAT
24	THEY CAN DEMURRER ON EARLIER.
25	THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. SO LET ME
26	WELL, LET'S SEE.
27	MR. CREED: WOULD YOUR HONOR LIKE US TO RE
28	THE COURT: TO REDO IT. I WOULD LIKE YOU TO

CERTIFIED COPY

MOTION Page 10

ON THE OTHER HAND, I AM LEAVING FOR A TWO-WEEK 1 REDO IT. 2 VACATION ON FRIDAY AND I'M IN EUROPE. IF YOU DON'T GET 3 IT TO ME BEFORE FRIDAY, I WON'T GET IT ENTERED. 4 SO LET'S DO THIS. I WILL -- I'LL ENTER 5 THE ORDER, BUT I'LL STRIKE PARAGRAPHS 6 THROUGH 9 AND 6 THEN YOU CAN MEET AND CONFER. AND THEN IF I DON'T GET 7 YOUR NEW IDEAS BEFORE I LEAVE MID-DAY ON FRIDAY THIS WEEK, THEN I'LL SEE IT WHEN I GET BACK. 8 OKAY. 9 MR. CREED: YES. 10 MR. SCHMIDT: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 11 THE COURT: GOOD. AND, YOU KNOW, WE WILL THEN SET A -- WHAT YOU SHOULD DO IS SET A -- PUT A LINE THERE 12 13 FOR A HEARING DAY. AND THEN I AND MY STAFF WILL TAKE A LOOK AT THAT AND WE'LL SET THE HEARING DATE. 14 THEN IF 15 IT'S BAD FOR SOMEBODY YOU CAN LET ME KNOW. OKAY. GOOD. 16 SO AS AN ASIDE ON THE MDL BRIEFING, I DID 17 LOOK AT THE LODGED COMPLAINT, MASTER COMPLAINT, IN THE 18 MDL, AND I WAS WONDERING WHETHER YOU HAD DISCUSSED WITH 19 JUDGE GONZALEZ ROGERS INCLUDING ONE OF THE CRIMINAL 20 STATUTE CAUSES OF ACTION IN THE FIRST WAIVE BRIEFING. 21 I'M -- IT'S NONE OF MY BUSINESS. I'M JUST THROWING THAT OUT THERE. 2.2 23 MR. SCHMIDT: WE DID DISCUSS IT, YOUR HONOR, 24 BUT IT WILL COME UP BECAUSE THE NEGLIGENCE PER SE CLAIM 25 THAT THE PLAINTIFFS HAVE ELECTED TO COVER SWEEPS IN 26 THOSE -- THOSE CAUSES OF ACTION. 2.7 THE COURT: OKAY. THAT SEEMS LIKE A BIG ISSUE 28 TO ME. THAT'S ALL. OKAY. ENOUGH SAID. I'VE GOT

Social Media Cases JCCP5255, 03/22/2023 CERTIFIED COPY

1	ENOUGH TO DO HANDLING MY CASE WITHOUT HANDLING JUDGE
2	GONZALEZ ROGERS' CASES.
3	LET'S MOVE TO THE ISSUE OF THE PROTECTIVE
4	ORDER. AND I AM QUITE WILLING TO WAIT UNTIL FEDERAL
5	COURT FINISHES ITS WORK AND THEN LOOK AT NECESSARY
6	MODIFICATIONS WITHOUT GETTING TOO FAR INTO THE ISSUES
7	THAT ARE IN THE JOINT REPORT. AND THINGS WILL SMOOTH
8	OUT OVER TIME, BY THE WAY, IN TERMS OF EACH SIDE
9	COMMUNICATING WITH THE OTHER SUFFICIENTLY IN ADVANCE TO
10	GET BOTH SIDE'S VIEWS IN IN A FAIR WAY. I HAVE HAD THAT
11	HAPPEN BEFORE IN LARGE CASES WHERE THE JOINT REPORTS ARE
12	KIND OF A PROBLEM AT THE BEGINNING, BUT I'M CONFIDENT
13	YOU WILL SMOOTH THAT OUT AS TIME GOES ON.
14	SO I WILL SAY THAT THERE HAS TO BE
15	COMPLIANCE WITH CALIFORNIA RULE OF COURT 2.550, ET SEC.
16	I COULDN'T, FRANKLY, IMAGINE A SITUATION IN WHICH IT
17	WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO NOT ALLOW NONPARTIES TO
18	CHALLENGE CONFIDENTIALITY. THAT'S PROBABLY 60 PERCENT
19	OF THE REASON FOR THE WAY CALIFORNIA LAW HAS EVOLVED
20	WITH THE CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT CASE WHICH I DIDN'T
21	LOOK UP, BUT ONE THE NETWORKS.
22	MR. CREED: NBC SUBSIDIARY.
23	THE COURT: NBC SUBSIDIARY, THANK YOU, BEING
24	VERY CONCERNED ABOUT OPEN COURT PROCEEDINGS. AND THAT'S
25	WHY AND THEN THE RULES OF COURT WERE DRAFTED IN THE
26	WAKE OF THAT. GO AHEAD.
27	MS. SIMONSEN: UNDERSTOOD, YOUR HONOR, AND WE
28	APPRECIATE THAT GUIDANCE. WE HAVE EVERY INTENTION WITH

CERTIFIED COPY

MOTION Page 12

RESPECT TO THE PROTECTIVE ORDER THAT WE WOULD ENTER FOR 1 2 YOUR COURT'S CONSIDERATION TO ADDRESS RULE 2.550 AND 3 TAKE INTO ACCOUNT WITH RESPECT TO SEALING. 4 WITH RESPECT TO THE CONFIDENTIALITY 5 CHALLENGES, THE RULE THAT THE PLAINTIFFS CITE, AS WE 6 UNDERSTAND IT, ALLOWS FOR THE GENERAL PUBLIC TO 7 CHALLENGE THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF RECORDS FILED AND 8 LODGED WITH THE COURT AS OPPOSED TO, FOR INSTANCE, BEING 9 ABLE TO CHALLENGE THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF ANY DOCUMENT 10 PRODUCED IN THE COURSE OF DISCOVERY IN A CASE. AND THAT 11 WOULD BE THE DISTINCTION THAT AT THIS POINT WE WOULD 12 DRAW, BUT WE ARE HAPPY TO CONTINUE THE CONFERRAL WITH 13 THE PLAINTIFFS ON THIS. THE ISSUE IS THEN TEED UP FOR 14 MAGISTRATE JUDGE HIXON IN THE MDL AS WELL, RECOGNIZING A 15 DIFFERENT SET OR RULES AND PROCEDURES WILL APPLY THERE, BUT I THINK THAT WILL BE VERY INSTRUCTIVE FOR THE 16 17 PARTIES BEFORE WE SUBMIT SOMETHING FOR YOUR HONOR'S 18 CONSIDERATION. 19 THE COURT: AND THEN ON THE DISCLOSURE OF 20 EXPERTS ISSUE, I HAVEN'T REALLY -- THAT HAS NOT COME UP 21 BEFORE, BUT I STARTED THINKING ABOUT IT. AND, YOU KNOW, 2.2 ONE THING THAT OCCURRED TO ME WAS THAT MAYBE, INSOFAR AS 23 CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL IS BEING PROVIDED TO AN EXPERT, 24 PERHAPS, THE PARTY THAT, AS MAKING THAT DISCLOSURE TO 25 THE EXPERT, COULD BE REOUIRED JUST TO FILE UNDER SEAL 26 WITH THE COURT THE COMPLIANCE DOCUMENT THAT THE EXPERT SIGNED SO THAT IT'S JUST ON FILE. EARLY DISCLOSURE OF 2.7 28 EXPERTS IS AN ISSUE. I MEAN, OBVIOUSLY, IN -- AT LEAST

CERTIFIED COPY

MOTION Page 13

IN STATE COURT PARTIES CAN HAVE NON-DISCLOSED EXPERTS 1 2 THAT NEVER GET DISCLOSED AND THEY'RE -- YOU KNOW, IT'S 3 WORK PRODUCT AT THAT POINT. ANYWAY, JUST A THOUGHT. SO I WILL AWAIT THE WORK ON THE FEDERAL 4 5 COURT PROTECTIVE ORDER. AND ALSO WITH THE ESI PROTOCOL, 6 I'M GLAD FOR YOU TO WORK WITH THE MDL COUNSEL TO FIGURE 7 THAT ONE OUT. 8 YOUR HONOR, MAY I MAKE A COMMENT MR. CREED: 9 ON THE DISCLOSER OF EXPERT ISSUE. ONE OF THE -- AND I 10 AM NOT SURE THERE IS ANYTHING TO DECIDE AT THE MOMENT ON 11 IT, OF COURSE, BUT ONE OF THE CHALLENGES WE'LL HAVE ON 12 THE PLAINTIFFS SIDE IS THAT THERE ARE SOME ATTORNEYS WHO 13 ARE IN THE STATE COURT PROCEEDING AND THE FEDERAL PROCEEDING AND SO. 14 15 WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO NAVIGATE HERE AND WHY WE THOUGHT IT WAS USEFUL TO PRESENT THE ISSUE TO YOU 16 17 WAS SITUATIONS WHERE THERE IS, OBVIOUSLY, DIFFERENT 18 RULES, DIFFERENT PROCEDURAL RULES, IN EACH JURISDICTION, 19 AND WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO FIGURE OUT WAYS TO MAKE SURE 20 ATTORNEYS ARE IN COMPLIANCE WITH BOTH PROTECTIVE ORDERS. 21 SO WE'LL WORK WITH DEFENDANTS ON IT, BUT WE ARE WORKING 2.2 WELL COORDINATING THE TWO CASES. WE'VE ALL BEEN ON 23 PHONE CALLS TOGETHER, LENGTHY MEET AND CONFERS ON A 24 NUMBER OF ISSUES. AND SO IT WILL BE A PROCESS TO SMOOTH 25 OUT FOR HOW THE DIFFERENT PROCEEDINGS PRESENT ISSUES TO 26 THE DIFFERENT DECISION MAKERS UNDER DIFFERENT RULES, AND 2.7 SO WE'RE JUST MAKING SURE WE'RE ACTING APPROPRIATELY 28 HERE.

Social Media Cases MOTION JCCP5255, 03/22/2023 CERTIFIED COPY Page 14

THE COURT: OKAY.

MS. SIMONSEN: JUST TO BRIEFLY RESPOND. WE OF COURSE UNDERSTAND THAT THE PARTIES WILL NEED TO CONFER ABOUT ANY STATE COURT DIFFERENCES THAT MAY REQUIRE SOME ADJUSTMENTS TO WHATEVER THE PARTIES PROPOSE OR IS ENTERED IN THE MDL. WE OBVIOUSLY WANT TO BE MINDFUL OF ANY PARTICULAR GUIDANCE YOUR HONOR HAS. IT'S SIMPLY OUR POSITION THAT IT'S PREMATURE TO BE RAISING THOSE ISSUES AT THIS POINT IN TIME. AND WE WOULD PROPOSE THAT WHEN

10 | THE ISSUE IS RIPE FOR CONSIDERATION WE PRESENT ALL

11 REMAINING OUTSTANDING ISSUES FOR YOUR HONOR'S

12 | CONSIDERATION SO THAT WE DON'T PREMATURELY RAISE THEM

13 | WITH YOU AT CMC'S.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

THE COURT: OKAY. THAT'S FINE. I LIKE SEEING THINGS IN ADVANCE, ACTUALLY, THINKING ABOUT THEM, BUT YOU KNOW HOW WE WORK IN COMPLEX COURTS. WE DO A LOT OF INFORMAL -- FOR EXAMPLE, INFORMAL DISCOVERY CONFERENCES AND PREMOTION CONFERENCES. SO WE DO A LOT OF

19 COMMUNICATING WITHOUT DECIDING, AND DECIDING IS A 20 DIFFERENT THING.

MS. SIMONSEN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONORER.

THE COURT: OKAY. ON THE PRESERVATION ISSUE,
I THINK IF -- I'M GLAD YOU ARE GOING TO PROCEED WITH THE
PLAINTIFF PROFILE FORM THAT WOULD SORT OF INITIATE, AS I
WOULD SEE IT, A RESPONSIBILITY OF THE DEFENDANT TO
PRESERVE WITH REGARD TO THE USER ACCOUNTS. I THINK IT'S
IMPORTANT TO DO THAT A VERY QUICKLY, QUITE HONESTLY. I

28 | THINK IT'S TO EVERYBODY'S ADVANTAGE.

CERTIFIED COPY

MOTION Page 15

1 IT'S TO PLAINTIFF ADVANTAGE BECAUSE YOU 2 IT'S TO THE DEFENDANT'S WANT THAT EVIDENCE PRESERVED. 3 ADVANTAGE BECAUSE -- WELL, THE PRESERVATION ORDERS, I 4 DON'T THINK I'VE DONE A DOCUMENT PRESERVATION ORDER, 5 WHICH IT'S SORT OF INTERESTING, BUT IT REALLY IS A 6 PROTECTION FOR THE DEFENDANTS BECAUSE WHAT HAPPENS 7 WITHOUT THAT IS -- IT COULD BE WHAT HAPPENED IN ONE OF THE FIRST CASES I LITIGATED. OUR -- I WAS WORKING WITH 8 9 A PARTNER WHO WAS LITIGATING IT. YOU KNOW HOW THAT 10 GOES. 11 AND, YOU KNOW, THE RETIRED -- I WILL TELL 12 THIS SORRY. WE WERE SUBBED INTO A CASE, AND JUST BEFORE 13 WE WERE SUBBED IN A FORMER EMPLOYEE, HIGH-LEVEL 14 EMPLOYEE, OF THE COMPANY HAD BEEN DEPOSED. HE WAS 15 RETIRED. AND IT WAS A DEPOSITION WITH A NOTICE TO 16 PRODUCE DOCUMENTS, A SUBPOENA IN THE CASE. AND HE -- IT 17 WAS VERY ODD THAT HIS WIFE INSISTED HE CLEAN OUT THE 18 GARAGE ON THE SATURDAY BEFORE HIS WEDNESDAY DEPOSITION, 19 AND HE DESTROYED EVERYTHING. 20 OKAY. AND WE WERE NOT IN THE CASE AT 21 THAT TIME, THANKFULLY, BUT WE WERE BROUGHT IN AFTER 2.2 THAT. IT WAS A HUGE FACTOR IN THE CASE, JUST A HUGE 23 FACTOR BECAUSE THE DESTRUCTION WAS GOING TO LOOM SO 24 LARGE IN THAT TRIAL. AND I JUST REFERRED THE DEFENDANTS 25 TO CACI 204, WILLFUL SUPPRESSION. I HAVE GIVEN THAT 26 INSTRUCTION BEFORE. I THINK IT HAS A DEVASTATING AFFECT ON THE JURY, AND MANY TIMES PLAINTIFFS ARE BETTER OFF 2.7 28 HAVING THAT INSTRUCTION THAN HAVING THE EVIDENCE.

CERTIFIED COPY

1	SO THIS WHOLE DOCUMENT PRESERVATION
2	ISSUE, YOU CAN GO AHEAD AND NEGOTIATE A PRESERVATION
3	ORDER IN FEDERAL COURT. TO MY WAY OF THINKING, IT'S
4	ALMOST A SAFE HARBOR FOR THE DEFENDANTS, BUT I THINK
5	EVERYTHING IS ADVANTAGED BY DOING THIS PLAINTIFF
6	PROFILE, AND I THINK YOU SHOULD GET ON WITH IT. AND
7	EVEN IF YOU CAN'T WELL, HOPEFULLY, YOU CAN NEGOTIATE
8	SOMETHING THAT'S AGREED BY BOTH SIDES, BUT JUST BASED ON
9	WHAT HAS BEEN ALLEGED AND DISCUSSED ABOUT HOW LONG
10	THINGS ARE KEPT IN THE INTERNET WORLD OF THESE ACCOUNTS,
11	I THINK THAT NEEDS TO BE DONE QUICKLY.
12	MR. CREED: YOUR HONOR, SO WE ARE WORKING,
13	NEGOTIATING A PLAINTIFF PROFILE FORM FOR PRESERVATION
14	PURPOSES. I THINK IN THE INTERIM, I CAN SPEAK FOR OUR
15	CASES, WE HAVE BEEN RESPONDING AT LEAST TO META'S
16	REQUESTS FOR PROFILE INFORMATION FOR THE PLAINTIFFS. SO
17	IT'S BEEN HAPPENING INFORMALLY. I HAVE SEEN LETTERS
18	GOING BACK AND FORTH ON THAT, BUT WE WILL ADOPT A MORE
19	FORMAL PROCESS, AS YOUR HONOR DIRECTED.
20	ON THE ISSUE OF THE PRESERVATION ORDER IN
21	FEDERAL COURT, MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT DEFENDANTS WILL
22	SEEK A SIMILAR ORDER IN THIS PROCEEDING. AND WHAT WE'RE
23	TRYING TO AVOID, OF COURSE, IS THAT THE FEDERAL ENACTS
24	AN ORDER UNDER FEDERAL RULES WITH RESPECT TO
25	DISCOVERABILITY STANDARDS, AND THEN WE COME TO YOUR
26	HONOR WITH AN ARGUMENT THAT THOSE STANDARDS DON'T APPLY
27	AND THERE IS A DIFFERENT ORDER IN THIS CASE.
28	WE ARE TRYING TO SYNC THEM UP. AND AS

Social Media Cases
JCCP5255, 03/22/2023
CERTIFIED COPY
MOTION
Page 17

- 1 YOU SAID, AS YOUR HONOR SAID, I THINK IT DOES PROTECT
- 2 | THE DEFENDANT, SO MAYBE IT'S JUST SOMETHING FOR THE
- 3 DEFENDANTS TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO COMPLY WITH BOTH ORDERS
- 4 IF THERE ARE TWO ORDERS.
- 5 THE COURT: I MEAN, I DON'T KNOW. I LOOK AT
- 6 IT AS A SAFER HARBOR FOR THE DEFENDANTS SO -- I DON'T
- 7 KNOW. AND IT'S A CURIOUS QUESTION, IN A WAY, HOW THE --
- 8 | HOW THE BALANCING OF THE FEDERAL RULES WITH REGARD TO
- 9 DISCOVERY IN TERMS OF WHAT IS DISCOVERABLE WOULD APPLY
- 10 | IN A CASE LIKE THIS. I MEAN, IT'S NOT A SITUATION WHERE
- 11 | IT'S A SMALL CASE AND A SMALL DEFENDANT PRODUCING
- 12 DOCUMENTS. SO IN THE END I DOUBT THERE IS MUCH
- 13 DIFFERENCE, BUT, YOU KNOW, I CAN'T SPECULATE.
- 14 | ULTIMATELY THE ISSUE IS WHETHER IT'S REQUESTED IN
- 15 DISCOVERY AND WHETHER THE COURT FINDS THAT IT SHOULD BE
- 16 | PRODUCED. AND THEN IF IT'S BEEN DESTROYED, THEN THAT'S
- 17 | THE PROBLEM.
- 18 MS. SIMONSEN: YOUR HONOR, WE COULDN'T AGREE
- 19 WITH YOU MORE AS TO THE PRESERVATION ORDER BEING, I
- 20 | THINK, A HELPFUL TOOL FOR BOTH SIDES. AND THE
- 21 | PRESERVATION ORDER THAT WE HAVE PROPOSED TO
- 22 | PLAINTIFFS -- WHICH, AGAIN, WE'RE NEGOTIATING WITH BOTH
- 23 | THE MDL PLAINTIFFS AND THE JCCP PLAINTIFFS -- IS
- 24 DESIGNED TO MEET DEFENDANTS' PRESERVATION AND DISCOVERY
- 25 | OBLIGATIONS ACROSS BOTH THE STATE AND FEDERAL
- 26 | PROCEEDINGS.
- 27 | CERTAINLY, THERE IS SOME DIFFERENCE IN
- 28 | TERMINOLOGY WITH WORDS LIKE "PROPORTIONALITY" IN FEDERAL

MOTION

Page 18

Social Media Cases JCCP5255, 03/22/2023 CERTIFIED COPY

COURT AS OPPOSED TO, PERHAPS, "BALANCE" AND "RELEVANCE" 1 2 AND "UNDUE BURDEN" IN STATE COURT, BUT THE WAY THAT WE 3 HAVE APPROACHING THE PRESERVATION ORDER IS TO DELINEATE 4 SPECIFIC CATEGORIES OF DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION THAT 5 EACH DEFENDANT HAS, BEING VERY TRANSPARENT WITH 6 PLAINTIFFS AS TO EXACTLY WHAT WE ARE PRESERVING AND THE 7 CATEGORIES OF INFORMATION FOR WHICH, WHETHER DUE TO PRIVACY OR BURDEN OR OTHER CONCERNS, WE TAKE A POSITION 8 9 WE ARE UNABLE TO PRESERVE. AND THOSE ISSUES, WE INTEND 10 TO BE AND HAVE BEEN FULLY TRANSPARENT WITH PLAINTIFFS 11 ABOUT AND WILL CONTINUE TO BE TRANSPARENT WITH THEM 12 ABOUT. WITH THE SPECIFIC CATEGORIES OF DOCUMENTS 13 14 AND INFORMATION DELINEATED IN THIS FASHION, IF WE CAN 15 REACH AGREEMENT WITH PLAINTIFFS OR IF YOUR HONOR AND THE MDL COURT ARE OPEN TO THAT APPROACH, WE THINK THAT THAT 16 17 REALLY OBVIATES ANY NEED FOR A DISCUSSION AROUND THE 18 KIND OF THEORETICAL DIFFERENCES BETWEEN FEDERAL AND 19 STATE LAW AND DISCOVERABILITY WHICH AS YOUR HONOR NOTED 20 FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE REALLY IS EQUIVALENT ACROSS THE 21 JURISDICTIONS. AND WE HAVE BEEN APPROACHING 2.2 PRESERVATION WITH OUR OBLIGATIONS UNDER STATE AND 23 FEDERAL RULES IN MIND. 24 OKAY. WELL, I DON'T THINK THERE THE COURT: 25 IS ANYTHING MORE I CAN DO FOR YOU HERE EXCEPT THAT --26 AND REALLY I THINK IT'S MOSTLY ON THE PLAINTIFF'S SIDE 2.7 TO GET TOGETHER SOMETHING THAT WE'VE TALKED ABOUT AS A 28 PLAINTIFF PROFILE FORM TO MAKE A DEMAND, IF YOU WILL, ON

CERTIFIED COPY

MOTION Page 19

THE DEFENDANT'S, YOU KNOW, HERE'S AT A MINIMUM WHAT WE 1 2 BELIEVE THE ACCOUNT INFORMATION IS, ET CETERA, AND THE 3 IDENTIFICATION OF THE PLAINTIFF. SO OKAY. 4 MS. SIMONSEN: YOUR HONOR, JUST TO PROVIDE A 5 BRIEF UPDATE TO YOUR HONOR, THE DEFENDANTS DID PROCEED A 6 PLAINTIFF PROFILE FORM WHICH WE ARE NOW REFERRING TO AS 7 A PLAINTIFF PRESERVATION INFORMATION FORM JUST TO MAKE SURE THERE'S NO CONFUSION WITH RESPECT TO THE PLAINTIFF 8 9 FACT SHEET WHICH WILL BE A SEPARATE DOCUMENT. AND WE 10 DID RECEIVE COMMENTS, AT LEAST FROM THE MDL PLAINTIFFS, 11 I BELIEVE, MONDAY EVENING. AND WE WILL BE MEETING AND 12 CONFERRING WITH BOTH SETS OF PLAINTIFFS ON THAT FORM 13 TOMORROW MORNING, SO WE ARE MOVING IT FORWARD. 14 THE COURT: GOOD. I APPRECIATE THAT. 15 YES, YOUR HONOR. MR. CREED: 16 THE COURT: OKAY. VERY GOOD. 17 SO WE TALKED SEPARATELY LAST TIME ABOUT 18 CSAM PRESERVATION, AND I SEE THAT YOU HAVE BEEN 19 DISCUSSING THAT. I WOULD LIKE TO GIVE PRIORITY TO THE 20 COULD WE HAVE A STIP AND PROPOSED ORDER IN 20 21 DAYS ON THAT AS A SEPARATE ISSUE. MS. SIMONSEN: YOUR HONOR, WE HAD ASKED 22 23 PLAINTIFFS ON MARCH 7TH FOR A MEET AND CONFER ON THAT 24 ISSUE AND THEY DECLINED TO SET IT. WE HAVE NOW FINALLY 25 SET A MEET AND CONFER ON THAT ISSUE FOR TOMORROW 26 I BELIEVE THAT THIS IS A RELATIVELY COMPLEX MORNING. 27 ISSUE. 28 JUST TO GIVE YOUR HONOR ONE PERSPECTIVE

Social Media Cases
JCCP5255, 03/22/2023
CERTIFIED COPY
MOTION
Page 20

ON IT, FEDERAL LAW DOES ALLOW, FOR INSTANCE, IN CRIMINAL 1 2 CASES FOR CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS ONLY TO ACCESS THIS TYPE 3 OF CSAM INFORMATION THROUGH GOVERNMENT FACILITIES. 4 THAT IS AN EXCEPTION MADE ONLY IN THE CASE OF CRIMINAL 5 CASES. THAT'S ONLY TO HIGHLIGHT FOR YOUR HONOR REALLY 6 WHAT A SORT OF EXTREME FEDERAL STATUTE WE ARE DEALING 7 WITH HERE THAT PROHIBITS US UNDER FEDERAL LAW FROM POSSESSING AND DISTRIBUTING CHILD SEX ABUSE MATERIAL. 8 9 AND FOR THOSE REASONS WE THINK LIKELY 10 SIGNIFICANT CONFERRAL ON THESE ISSUES WILL BE REQUIRED FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE, AND IT'S IN PLAINTIFFS INTEREST 11 VERY MUCH TO UNDERSTAND HOW THAT FEDERAL STATUTE MAY 12 13 APPLY WITH RESPECT TO ANY CSAM THAT THEIR CLIENTS MAY BE 14 IN POSSESSION OF BY VIRTUE OF MAINTAINING THEIR SOCIAL 15 MEDIA ACCOUNTS. SO WE THINK BOTH SIDES HAVE AN INTEREST 16 IN HAVING CLARITY AND AN OPPORTUNITY TO FULLY VET THESE 17 ISSUES WITH ONE ANOTHER BEFORE PRESENTING THEM TO YOUR 18 HONOR. 19 I THINK WE CAN CERTAINLY MAKE EVERY 20 EFFORT TO -- IF WE CAN REACH AGREEMENT ON A PROPOSAL 21 WITH RESPECT TO CSAM PRESERVATION, I THINK 20 DAYS 2.2 LIKELY WOULD BE SUFFICIENT, SUBJECT TO THE VIEWS OF THE 23 OTHER DEFENDANTS AND THE PLAINTIFFS. I THINK THAT IF WE 24 AREN'T ABLE TO REACH AGREEMENT ON THAT ISSUE, A BIT OF A 25 LONGER PERIOD OF TIME SO THAT WE CAN FULLY BRIEF IT FOR 26 YOUR HONOR WOULD BE BENEFICIAL. 2.7 MR. CREED: YOUR HONOR, 20 DAYS WOULD WORK FOR 28 I AM NOT AWARE OF THAT MARCH 7TH COMMUNICATION, BUT US.

Social Media Cases JCCP5255, 03/22/2023 CERTIFIED COPY

MOTION Page 21

	JCC1 3253, V3/22/2025 CERTITIED COL1 1 age
1	I AM AWARE THAT WE ARE MEETING AND CONFERRING TOMORROW
2	ON THE ISSUE. I THINK, AS I UNDERSTAND THE ISSUE, IT'S
3	JUST ABOUT PRESERVATION OF THE INFORMATION. SO IT'S NOT
4	ABOUT THE PRODUCTION OR DISTRIBUTION OF THE INFORMATION.
5	I THINK CSAM WOULD REQUIRE DEFENDANTS TO PRESERVE IT
6	ANYWAYS REGARDLESS OF HOW THE METHOD BY WHICH THEY
7	DISTRIBUTE IT TO A CRIMINAL DEFENDANT, BUT THAT'S WE
8	DON'T HAVE TO ARGUE THE MERITS. TOMORROW WE CAN HAVE
9	THE MEET AND CONFER AND WE WILL GET ON WITH IT WITHIN
10	THE 20 DAYS.
11	THE COURT: SO IT IS INDEED ABOUT
12	PRESERVATION, AND SO I THINK WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO IS
13	TO SAY THAT I WANT A STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER IN
14	20 DAYS OR A REDLINED PROPOSED ORDER THAT HIGHLIGHTS THE
15	AREAS OF DISAGREEMENT WITH A PROPOSAL AS TO HOW YOU
16	WOULD LIKE TO PROCEED FURTHER WITH DISCUSSION OF THE
17	REMAINING ISSUES WITH THE COURT.
18	SO, IN OTHER WORDS, YOU COULD SAY, YOU
19	KNOW, HERE ARE THE AREAS OF DISAGREEMENT, THE COURT CAN
20	JUST DECIDE, OR, YOU KNOW, HERE IS A COUPLE PARAGRAPHS
21	FOR EACH SIDE WHICH WE'RE PUTTING ON THE MESSAGE BOARD
22	OR, GEE, WE'D LIKE X PAGES PER SIDE AND A HEARING.
23	RIGHT?
24	MS. SIMONSEN: VERY GOOD, YOUR HONOR.
25	THE COURT: ANY OF THAT IS FINE. SO WITHIN 20
26	DAYS A STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING CSAM

PRESERVATION OR A REDLINED PROPOSED ORDER SHOWING THE

AREAS OF DISAGREEMENT TOGETHER WITH A JOINT POSTING ON

27

28

MOTION

Page 22

Social Media Cases
JCCP5255, 03/22/2023 CERTIFIED COPY

THE MESSAGE BOARD THAT SUGGESTS HOW YOU BELIEVE THE 1 2 COURT SHOULD DECIDE THOSE ISSUES. 3 MS. SIMONSEN: YES, YOUR HONOR. MAKE SENSE? 4 THE COURT: 5 MS. SIMONSEN: YES, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU. 6 THE COURT: VERY GOOD. I'M GLAD YOU ARE 7 MOVING FORWARD WITH THE PLAINTIFF FACT SHEETS 8 I THINK IT IS APPROPRIATE TO PROCEED WITH DISCUSSION. 9 THE GAL PROCEDURE THAT YOU SUGGEST IS ACCEPTABLE. THAT. 10 MR. CREED: WE ALSO HAVE A PROPOSED ORDER ON 11 THAT THAT I WILL BE SUBMITTING. 12 THE COURT: THAT'S FINE. SO I SEE YOU HAVE A 13 AND SO I WILL WANT TO KNOW MAYBE BY THE TIME MEDIATOR. 14 OF THE NEXT STATUS CONFERENCE IF YOU ARE AGREEABLE THAT 15 I CAN SPEAK WITH THE MEDIATOR OR NOT. AND YOU CAN GO I DON'T LIKE TO PUSH THOSE THINGS, 16 EITHER WAY ON THAT. 17 BUT -- SO I WOULD JUST LIKE TO KNOW. ALL RIGHT. SO YOU 18 CAN INCLUDE THAT IN YOUR POSITIONS ON THAT IN THE NEXT 19 STATUS REPORT. 20 WHAT ELSE DO WE NEED TO TALK ABOUT? 21 YOUR HONOR, I HATE TO GO BACK, I MR. SCHMIDT: APOLOGIZE. 2.2 JUST ONE SMALL POINT ON THE SCHEDULING ISSUE 23 THAT I MISSED WHEN YOUR HONOR SAID THIS. YOUR HONOR 24 SAID THAT THE COURT WOULD ENTER ITEMS ONE THROUGH SIX ON 25 ITEM SIX IS THE PLAINTIFFS' ELECTION OF THE A SCHEDULE. 26 THREE CASES THAT WOULD BE COVERED. FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE 2.7 IF WE'RE GOING TO CONFER ON MOVING DATES, WE WOULD WANT 28 TO BE ABLE TO CONFER ON MOVING THAT UP AS WELL SO I

CERTIFIED COPY

MOTION Page 23

1 WOULD ASK THE COURT IF THE COURT WOULD JUST ENTER AS ONE 2 THROUGH FIVE. 3 THE COURT: YES, YOU ARE QUITE CORRECT. 4 ACTUALLY, WHEN I DID AN ANNOTATION ON THE PRINTED-OUT 5 VERSUS I STRUCK PARAGRAPH SIX AS WELL, SO WE'RE ON THE 6 SAME PAGE. 7 MR. SCHMIDT: THANK YOU, YOUR HONORER. MR. CREED: YOUR HONOR, GOING BACK AS WELL ON 8 9 THE CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NUMBER ONE INVOLVING 10 PLAINTIFFS' LEADERSHIP, I HAD NOTICED THAT YOUR HONOR 11 STRUCK CERTAIN PARAGRAPHS. I JUST WANTED TO SEE IF THAT 12 WAS WITHOUT PREJUDICE BECAUSE THEY RELATED TO COMMON 13 BENEFIT AND ASSESSMENT --14 THE COURT: WE DON'T HAVE ANYTHING NEW. 15 MR. CREED: OKAY. UNDERSTOOD. IT'S WITHOUT PREJUDICE, YOUR HONOR? 16 17 THE COURT: YES, IT'S WITHOUT PREJUDICE, BUT I 18 THINK -- LET ME EXPRESS MYSELF MORE CLEARLY ON COMMON 19 BENEFIT FUND. I HAVE SIGNIFICANT DOUBTS AS TO WHETHER I 20 HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO -- AND I KNOW IN OTHER CASES 21 OTHER -- WELL, IN THE SOCAL GAS CASE THERE WAS A COMMON 2.2 BENEFIT FUND SET UP IN AN INITIAL ORDER THAT ANOTHER 23 JUDGE ENTERED. 24 MY CONCERN IS I DON'T THINK IT'S A COMMON 25 BENEFIT FUND IN THE WAY THAT A CLASS SETTLEMENT IS A 26 COMMON BENEFIT FUND. I DON'T KNOW THAT I HAVE -- AS I 2.7 SAY, I DON'T KNOW THAT I HAVE AUTHORITY TO ENTER IT. 28 I'M VERY CONCERNED ABOUT WHAT THE FEDERAL COURTS DO.

Social Media Cases MOTION JCCP5255, 03/22/2023 CERTIFIED COPY Page 24

1 HAVE READ JUDGE CHHABRIA'S OPINIONS ON THIS. I'M VERY 2 CONCERNED ABOUT WHAT FEDERAL COURTS DO BECAUSE IT 3 STRIKES ME AS THE COURT ENSURING THAT ONE SIDE IS 4 GETTING PAID. AND IT, TO ME, CREATES A SITUATION, 5 PARTICULARLY WHERE BILLS ARE BEING REVIEWED AND 6 POTENTIALLY REVIEWED BY THE COURT, THAT I'M EXTREMELY 7 UNCOMFORTABLE WITH. 8 NOW, IN THE SOCAL GAS CASE WHICH 9 PLAINTIFFS COUNSEL IS FAMILIAR WITH, MR. CREED IS 10 FAMILIAR WITH, WHERE THERE IS A COMMON BENEFIT FUND, 11 THANKFULLY THAT NEVER CAME TO THE COURT, RIGHT. 12 THINKING ABOUT THE PROBLEMS, UNDOUBTEDLY THE PROBLEMS 13 THAT PLAINTIFFS HAVE IN -- PLAINTIFFS COUNSEL HAVE IN 14 COLLECTIVE LITIGATION LIKE THIS, TO ME THERE SHOULD BE 15 AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN COUNSEL WHICH IS THEN ENFORCED 16 THROUGH AN AGREED ARBITRATOR. 17 MR. CREED: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 18 THE COURT: SO IT DOESN'T COME BEFORE THE 19 COURT. 20 MR. CREED: I'M GLAD I RAISED THAT THEN. WE 21 CAN TALK INTERNALLY. 22 THE COURT: YES, MAYBE YOU CAN TALK INTERNALLY 23 ABOUT THAT AND KNOW I AM A LITTLE BIT OUT OF THE 24 MAINSTREAM ON THAT, AT LEAST AS YOU LOOK AT AN MDL. YOU 25 KNOW, WE'VE BEEN DOING COMPLEX LITIGATION HERE FOR 20 26 YEARS, AND I DON'T KNOW OF ANYBODY, ANY OF OUR JUDGES, 2.7 WHO HAVE EVER GOTTEN INTO -- I KNOW THERE HAVE BEEN CASE 28 MANAGEMENT ORDERS WHERE A COURT HAS AGREED TO A COMMON

CERTIFIED COPY

1	BENEFIT FUND, BUT I DON'T KNOW OF A SITUATION WHERE A
2	JUDGE HAS EVER GOTTEN INTO THE DEPTH TO BE REVIEWING
3	BILLS AND BILLING. AND SOMEHOW WE GET THROUGH, YOU
4	KNOW, NONETHELESS.
5	MR. CREED: YES.
6	THE COURT: BUT, YOU KNOW, I HAVE LOOKED AT
7	WHAT YOU SET UP IN THE MDL AND IT'S VERY ELABORATE. NOT
8	YOU, BUT THE MDL COUNSEL HAVE SET UP, AND IT'S VERY
9	ELABORATE. BUT, YOU KNOW, IF I WERE PLAINTIFF'S
10	COUNSEL, I WOULDN'T LIKE MY BILLS BEING LOOKED AT. AND
11	IF I WERE DEFENSE COUNSEL, I WOULDN'T LIKE THE OTHER
12	SIDE'S BEING ASSURED OF GETTING PAID. SO I THINK YOU
13	CAN TAKE CARE OF IT, ACTUALLY, AS PRIVATE I WOULD
14	CALL IT PRIVATE ORDERING.
15	MR. CREED: OKAY. WE'LL WORK ON THAT, YOUR
15 16	MR. CREED: OKAY. WE'LL WORK ON THAT, YOUR HONOR.
16 17	HONOR.
16 17	HONOR. THE COURT: SO THINK ABOUT THAT.
16 17 18 19	HONOR. THE COURT: SO THINK ABOUT THAT. NOW, YOU MIGHT CONVINCE ME BECAUSE I AM
16 17 18 19	HONOR. THE COURT: SO THINK ABOUT THAT. NOW, YOU MIGHT CONVINCE ME BECAUSE I AM NOT UNAWARE THAT THERE IS A POTENTIAL FREE RIDER EFFECT
16 17 18 19 20	HONOR. THE COURT: SO THINK ABOUT THAT. NOW, YOU MIGHT CONVINCE ME BECAUSE I AM NOT UNAWARE THAT THERE IS A POTENTIAL FREE RIDER EFFECT THAT YOU HAVE GOT TO DEAL WITH. RIGHT, IF THERE IS JUST
16 17 18 19 20 21	HONOR. THE COURT: SO THINK ABOUT THAT. NOW, YOU MIGHT CONVINCE ME BECAUSE I AM NOT UNAWARE THAT THERE IS A POTENTIAL FREE RIDER EFFECT THAT YOU HAVE GOT TO DEAL WITH. RIGHT, IF THERE IS JUST ONE COUNSEL THAT SAYS FOLDS THEIR ARMS AND SAYS, NO,
16 17 18 19 20 21	HONOR. THE COURT: SO THINK ABOUT THAT. NOW, YOU MIGHT CONVINCE ME BECAUSE I AM NOT UNAWARE THAT THERE IS A POTENTIAL FREE RIDER EFFECT THAT YOU HAVE GOT TO DEAL WITH. RIGHT, IF THERE IS JUST ONE COUNSEL THAT SAYS FOLDS THEIR ARMS AND SAYS, NO, I AM NOT GOING TO CHIP IN TO PAY FOR A COMMON YOU
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	HONOR. THE COURT: SO THINK ABOUT THAT. NOW, YOU MIGHT CONVINCE ME BECAUSE I AM NOT UNAWARE THAT THERE IS A POTENTIAL FREE RIDER EFFECT THAT YOU HAVE GOT TO DEAL WITH. RIGHT, IF THERE IS JUST ONE COUNSEL THAT SAYS FOLDS THEIR ARMS AND SAYS, NO, I AM NOT GOING TO CHIP IN TO PAY FOR A COMMON YOU KNOW, THE COURT REPORTER FEES FOR DEPOSITIONS THAT ARE
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	HONOR. THE COURT: SO THINK ABOUT THAT. NOW, YOU MIGHT CONVINCE ME BECAUSE I AM NOT UNAWARE THAT THERE IS A POTENTIAL FREE RIDER EFFECT THAT YOU HAVE GOT TO DEAL WITH. RIGHT, IF THERE IS JUST ONE COUNSEL THAT SAYS FOLDS THEIR ARMS AND SAYS, NO, I AM NOT GOING TO CHIP IN TO PAY FOR A COMMON YOU KNOW, THE COURT REPORTER FEES FOR DEPOSITIONS THAT ARE HELPING MY CLIENT, NO, I AM NOT GOING TO DO THAT, AND
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	HONOR. THE COURT: SO THINK ABOUT THAT. NOW, YOU MIGHT CONVINCE ME BECAUSE I AM NOT UNAWARE THAT THERE IS A POTENTIAL FREE RIDER EFFECT THAT YOU HAVE GOT TO DEAL WITH. RIGHT, IF THERE IS JUST ONE COUNSEL THAT SAYS FOLDS THEIR ARMS AND SAYS, NO, I AM NOT GOING TO CHIP IN TO PAY FOR A COMMON YOU KNOW, THE COURT REPORTER FEES FOR DEPOSITIONS THAT ARE HELPING MY CLIENT, NO, I AM NOT GOING TO DO THAT, AND YOU HAVE A FREE RIDER EFFECT. THAT'S A PROBLEM. I GET

28

CERTIFIED COPY

MOTION Page 26

1 ABLE TO DO THAT. WE DID THAT IN THE USC CASE ALSO. 2 YES. 3 THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. I THINK THE 4 FEDERAL COURTS ARE GOING TO STAY WHERE THEY ARE ON THAT 5 ISSUE, BUT WE'LL SEE. 6 OKAY. ANYTHING ELSE? 7 MR. VAN ZANDT: YOUR HONOR, JOSEPH VAN ZANDT 8 FOR THE PLAINTIFFS. I HAD A COUPLE OF ISSUES I WANTED 9 TO RAISE. FIRST IS SOMEWHAT RELATED TO CMO ONE, BUT 10 EMILY JEFFCOTT IS ONE OF THE ATTORNEYS YOU APPOINTED AS 11 LEAD COUNSEL IN THE JCCP, AND SHE WANTED ME TO PASS 12 ALONG HER APOLOGIES FOR NOT BEING AT THE INITIAL HEARING 13 OR AT THIS HEARING. AT THE LAST HEARING SHE WAS ON BED 14 REST ABOUT TO GIVE BIRTH. SHE HAS SINCE GIVEN BIRTH AND 15 IS OUT ON MATERNITY LEAVE RIGHT NOW. HER AND THE BABY 16 ARE BOTH DOING WELL, AND SHE'S VERY MUCH LOOKING FORWARD 17 TO GETTING BACK TO WORK AND BEING HERE IN YOUR COURT IN 18 THE NEAR FUTURE. 19 THE COURT: WELL, CONGRATULATIONS TO HER. 20 MR. VAN ZANDT: ABSOLUTELY. THERE IS TWO 21 ISSUES THAT YOUR HONOR MENTIONED AT THE FIRST STATUS 2.2 CONFERENCE, AND ONE OF THOSE IS THE QUESTION ABOUT THE 23 NUMBER OF CASES THAT WE ANTICIPATE BEING FILED IN THIS 24 COURT. WE ARE IN THE PROCESS OF PERFORMING AN INTERNAL 25 CENSUS AMONG THE ATTORNEYS WORKING ON THE JCCP TO BE 26 ABLE TO PROVIDE YOUR HONOR, YOU KNOW, AN IDEA OF HOW 2.7 MANY CASES MAY BE FILED IN THIS COURT, BUT, AT LEAST,

THE BEST THE PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL CAN KNOW FOR NOW.

CERTIFIED COPY

MOTION Page 27

1 I CAN SPEAK ON BEHALF OF MY FIRM AND ALSO 2 ON BEHALF OF THE EMILY JEFFCOTT WHO IS AT MORGAN & 3 BETWEEN OUR TWO FIRMS WE WOULD ANTICIPATE, YOU 4 KNOW, CASES IN THE -- WELL OVER A HUNDRED CASES, IN THE 5 HUNDREDS, POTENTIALLY, OF INDIVIDUAL CASES TO BE FILED 6 HERE IN YOUR COURT. WE DO LOOK FORWARD TO GETTING YOUR 7 HONOR THOSE MORE SPECIFIC NUMBERS AT THE NEXT STATUS 8 CONFERENCE. 9 AND THEN THE NEXT ISSUE IS YOUR HONOR 10 MENTIONED LAST TIME INTEREST OF HAVING A SCIENCE DAY. 11 YES. THE COURT: 12 MR. VAN ZANDT: WE JUST WANTED TO ASK A LITTLE 13 CLARIFICATION. WE CERTAINLY LOOK FORWARD TO MEETING AND 14 CONFERRING WITH DEFENDANTS ON THAT, BUT IN TERMS OF WHAT 15 YOU HAD IN MIND IN TERMS OF THE TIMELINE AS IT WOULD WORK WITH THE REMAINDER OF THE SCHEDULE, WHEN YOU WOULD 16 17 LIKE TO SEE SOMETHING LIKE THAT? 18 THE COURT: RIGHT. AND I APPRECIATE YOUR BRINGING THAT UP BECAUSE I DID TALK ABOUT IT LAST TIME, 19 20 AND I ALSO TALKED WITH JUDGE GONZALEZ ROGERS ABOUT IT. 21 I DON'T KNOW IF SHE FEELS LIKE SHE NEEDS ONE. 2.2 WOULD BE BENEFITED BY HAVING ONE PRIOR TO THE HEARING ON 23 THE DEMURRERS, FRANKLY, BUT WHAT THAT WOULD LOOK LIKE IN 24 MY JUDGMENT IS NOT REALLY GETTING INTO ALGORITHMS, 25 ALTHOUGH THAT MIGHT BE MENTIONED, BUT REALLY SOMETHING 26 LIKE SCREEN SHOTS AS TO WHAT THINGS LOOK LIKE; WHAT AN 2.7 ACCOUNT LOOKS LIKE; HOW "RECOMMENDED" OR, YOU KNOW, "UP 28 NEXT" VIDEOS ARE PRESENTED; HOW, YOU KNOW, "LIKES" AND

CERTIFIED COPY

MOTION Page 28

"DISLIKES," OR NUMBER OF DAYS THAT YOU HAVE BEEN IN 1 2 TOUCH WITH SOMEBODY OR THOSE KINDS OF THINGS ACTUALLY 3 LOOK AND WHAT THE VARIOUS OPTIONS ARE. SO I'M THINKING 4 OF MAINLY SCREEN SHOTS TYPE OF THING. 5 I NOTICED IN THE SUPREME COURT HEARING --6 AND I MAY HAVE SAID THIS LAST TIME -- BUT IN THE SUPREME 7 COURT HEARING ON GONZALEZ VERSUS GOOGLE, YOU KNOW, THAT 8 WAS A VERY SIMPLE SCENARIO, I THINK, WITH YOU TUBE 9 VIDEOS AND SOMETHING BEING PROPOSED AS, YOU KNOW, "NEXT 10 AND THE JUSTICES DIDN'T SEEM TO HAVE A VIEW IN 11 THEIR MINDS OF WHAT THAT LOOKED LIKE. AND THEN OF 12 COURSE JUSTICE KAGAN SAID WE'RE PROBABLY THE LEAST ABLE 13 NINE PEOPLE TO REALLY UNDERSTAND THE ENTIRETY OF THE SCENARIOS WE'RE TALKING ABOUT. 14 15 SO I'M JUST WANTING TO UNDERSTAND REALLY 16 THE USER EXPERIENCE, NOT -- YOU KNOW, AT SOME POINT IN 17 THIS LITIGATION IF IT GOES FORWARD, MAYBE WE'LL NEED 18 SOMETHING ON ALGORITHMS, BUT I -- I AM NOT GOING TO SAY 19 I TOTALLY UNDERSTAND THE ALGORITHMS, BUT I DO HAVE A 20 DAUGHTER WHO IS A DATA SCIENTIST WHO DOES MACHINE 21 AND I HAVE A DEGREE IN CHEMISTRY. LEARNING. SO I HAVE 2.2 SOME IDEA OF WHAT AN ALGORITHM IS, BUT WHAT THIS LOOKS 23 LIKE TO THE USER EXPERIENCE IS WHAT I AM THINKING ABOUT. 24 DOES THAT MAKE DEFENDANTS UNCOMFORTABLE? 25 MR. SCHMIDT: WE DID TALK ABOUT IT ON THE 26 DEFENSE SIDE, YOUR HONOR; YOUR HONOR HAVING RAISED IT. 2.7 WE OBVIOUSLY WANT TO PROVIDE THE COURT WITH WHATEVER 28 WOULD BE USEFUL TO THE COURT. THE CONCERN THAT WE HAD

CERTIFIED COPY

1	WAS WE DO THINK IT WOULD MAKE SENSE TO HAVE THE KIND
2	SCIENCE DAY YOUR HONOR IS TALKING ABOUT. WE ALSO THINK
3	THAT PROBABLY FURTHER DOWN THE ROAD IT WOULD MAKE SENSE
4	TO HAVE A SCIENCE DAY ON ACTUAL SCIENCE AND RESEARCH ON
5	SOME OF THE MERITS ISSUES.
6	WE HAD THOUGHT BOTH OF THOSE WOULD MAKE
7	SENSE AFTER THE DEMURRER SIMPLY BECAUSE OF THE CONCERN
8	THAT IF IT TURNS INTO ADVOCACY THROUGH SELECTION OF
9	SCREEN SHOTS OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT, THAT COULD BE HARD
10	TO MANAGE IN THE TERMS OF THE PARTIES REACHING ALIGNMENT
11	ON THAT.
12	WE'RE CERTAINLY OPEN TO CONFERRING ON
13	THAT WITH THE PLAINTIFFS TO SEE IF THERE ARE GUARDRAILS
14	WE COULD COME UP WITH TO TRY TO GIVE YOUR HONOR WHAT
15	YOUR HONOR IS LOOKING FOR WITHOUT IT TURNING INTO WHAT
16	WE WOULD VIEW AS AN OUTSIDE-THE-RECORD ADVOCACY EXERCISE
17	BEFORE A DEMURRER, BUT THAT WOULD BE OUR CONCERN ABOUT
18	DOING IT, IS JUST THE SIMPLE TIMING CONCERN OF DOING IT
19	BEFORE A DEMURRER AND THE GUARDRAILS FOR IT NOT BEING AN
20	ADVOCACY EXERCISE TO GO OUTSIDE THE RECORD, OUTSIDE THE
21	PLEADINGS.
22	MR. VAN ZANDT: YOUR HONOR, WE CERTAINLY WOULD
23	BE INTERESTED TO MEET AND CONFER AND DISCUSS PARAMETERS
24	ON THOSE POTENTIAL SCIENCE DAY ISSUES, BUT IN THE
25	MEANTIME, GIVEN YOUR HONOR'S WISHES TO SEE SCREEN SHOTS
26	TO UNDERSTAND THE USER EXPERIENCE MORE, WE CERTAINLY
27	DISCUSSED THAT INTERNALLY, AND WE CAN CERTAINLY
28	INCORPORATE MORE OF THAT INTO OUR MASTER COMPLAINT THAT

28

CERTIFIED COPY

MOTION Page 30

1 WE WILL BE FILING HERE. WE CERTAINLY, OBVIOUSLY, HAVE 2 AN INTEREST FOR THE COURT TO UNDERSTAND THESE PLATFORMS 3 AND THESE ISSUES GOING INTO THE DEMURRER BRIEFING, SO THAT'S SOMETHING WE CAN ADDRESS WITH THE PLAINTIFFS' 4 5 SIDE THROUGH OUR INITIAL MASTER COMPLAINT. 6 THE COURT: I DID SEE THAT THERE WERE SCREEN 7 SHOTS IN THE MDL COMPLAINT. I HAVEN'T READ IT COVER TO 8 COVER, BUT I DID SEE THERE WERE SCREEN SHOTS THERE. 9 MR. SCHMIDT: IF WE COULD CONFER ON THAT, YOUR 10 HONOR, WE WOULD APPRECIATE THAT OPPORTUNITY. 11 THE COURT: THAT'S FINE. AND, YOU KNOW, STATE 12 LAW IS REALLY QUITE STRICT ON NOT CONSIDERING EVIDENCE 13 OUTSIDE THE RECORD WHEN ONE IS DOING A DEMURRER. 14 WELL AWARE OF THAT. 15 AND I'LL SAY JUDGE GONZALEZ ROGERS WILL SPEAK FOR HERSELF, SHE DIDN'T SEEM THAT TAKEN BY THE 16 17 IDEA. I WON'T SAY SHE TOLD ME IT WAS A STUPID IDEA, 18 BUT, YOU KNOW, SHE DIDN'T SAY, OH, LET'S SCHEDULE THAT 19 SO I'M NOT SURE THERE IS GOING TO BE A JOINT 20 SCIENCE DAY. SHE'S GOT A VERY BUSY CALENDAR TOO, AS YOU 21 PROBABLY KNOW. 22 MR. VANZANT: YOUR HONOR, WE'LL TAKE YOUR 23 COMMENTS IN CONSIDERATION FOR PURPOSES OF THE COMPLAINT. 24 AND, OBVIOUSLY, WE WILL BE WILLING TO MEET AND CONFER 25 WITH DEFENDANTS ON THIS ISSUE. 26 THE COURT: VERY GOOD. I WANT TO BE VERY DEFERENTIAL TO THE DEFENDANTS IN THIS AREA, QUITE 2.7

HONESTLY, BECAUSE IT -- PARTICULARLY AT THE CHALLENGE TO

CERTIFIED COPY

MOTION Page 31

THE PLEADING STAGE, IT SHOULD NOT BE SOMETHING THAT 1 2 STATE PROCEDURAL LAW. INTERFERES WITH STATE LAW. OKAY. 3 MR. VAN ZANDT: YOUR HONOR, FINAL ISSUE FOR 4 ME, JOSEPH VAN ZANDT, WE ARE MEETING AND CONFERRING WITH 5 DEFENDANTS AND MDL COUNSEL ON A PROPOSED COORDINATION 6 ORDER FOR THE MDL AND THEN WE'LL POTENTIALLY BE LOOKING 7 TO PROPOSE A SIMILAR ORDER HERE TO YOUR HONOR. WANT TO LET YOU KNOW THAT WAS IN THE WORKS. I THINK THE 8 9 SCHEDULE IS CURRENTLY FOR THAT TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE 10 MDL COURT THIS FRIDAY, A PROPOSED COORDINATION ORDER. 11 THE COURT: I SAW A REFERENCE TO THAT. 12 IS A COORDINATION ORDER? 13 MR. CREED: THE COORDINATION ORDER IS BASICALLY AN ORDER THAT SEEKS TO SORT OF LAY THE GROUND 14 15 RULES FOR HOW WE'RE GOING TO -- AS A COURT, MDL COURT 16 PLAINTIFFS AND DEFENDANTS WILL DRAFT. I THINK WE CAN --17 I THINK THE INITIAL DRAFT IS VERY BROAD IN TERMS OF WHAT 18 IT DID. AND I THINK WE'RE MEETING AND CONFERRING SOON, AND I AM NOT GOING TO RAISE THOSE ISSUES, BUT IN JUUL, 19 20 FOR INSTANCE, WE HAD A COORDINATION ORDER BETWEEN THE 21 STATE COURT AND THE FEDERAL COURT. AND IT LARGELY WAS 2.2 JUST SORT OF THE GROUNDWORK FOR MAKING SURE THERE IS 23 NON-DUPLICATIVE DISCOVERY ON COMMON ISSUES BETWEEN THE 24 IT DIDN'T RESTRICT EITHER PARTY'S RIGHT TO TWO. 25 PROPOUND DISCOVERY, BUT IT'S AN ISSUE THAT WE'RE WORKING 26 OUT WITH DEFENDANTS. WE THINK THAT IT MAKES SENSE TO 2.7 ENTER ONE, BUT, YOU KNOW, WE RESERVE THE RIGHT NOT TO 28 ENTER ONE IN THIS PROCEEDING.

CERTIFIED COPY

1	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO I WOULD JUST HAVE
2	TWO COMMENTS ON THAT. NUMBER ONE, COORDINATION HAS A
3	SPECIFIC MEANING UNDER STATE LAW, RIGHT. IT WOULD
4	THIS IS A COORDINATED PROCEEDING, AND WE HAVE A WHOLE
5	PROCEDURE WHICH YOU HAVE BEEN THROUGH ON HOW CASES ARE
6	COORDINATED IN THAT SENSE. SO I THINK, AT LEAST FOR ME,
7	THAT YOU KNOW, THAT NEEDS THAT WORD NEEDS TO BE
8	CONSTRUED IN THE CONTEXT OF WHAT THE RULES OF COURT
9	PROVIDE FOR COORDINATION, SO TO SPEAK, WHICH YOU HAVE
10	ALREADY BEEN THROUGH, BUT WHICH COULD HAVE FURTHER
11	IMPLICATIONS DOWN THE LINE WITH RESPECT TO THIS COURT,
12	AS YOU KNOW, BEING ABLE TO TRY ALL OF THE CASES, RIGHT,
13	VERSUS THE FEDERAL COURT WHICH HAS TO REMAND AT SOME
14	POINT OR ELSE GO SIT IN OHIO TO HEAR AN OHIO CASE,
15	RIGHT, SO IT'S A DIFFERENT PROCESS. OKAY.
16	THE OTHER THING YOU SHOULD KNOW AND,
17	YOU KNOW, THOSE OF YOU WHO HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN CASES
18	IN MY COURT WOULD KNOW, DISCOVERY IS VERY SELDOM OPEN
19	ENDED IN MY COURT. OKAY. SO THERE WILL BE THE
20	DISCOVERY STAY WHEN THE DISCOVERY STAY IS LIFTED,
21	IT'S NOT GOING TO BE OPEN SEASON ON DISCOVERY. WE WILL
22	GO THROUGH STAGES OF DISCOVERY.
23	I OFTEN LIKE TO HAVE COUNSEL CONFER ABOUT
24	THE AVAILABILITY OF DOCUMENTATION BEFORE PROPOUNDING
25	DISCOVERY SO THAT WE TRY TO AVOID THINGS LIKE, YOU KNOW,
26	TOTALLY OVERBROAD DISCOVERY WHICH IS TOTALLY OVERBROAD
27	BECAUSE THE REQUESTING PARTY HAS NO IDEA HOW THE
28	RESPONDING PARTY KEEPS THEIR DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION.

CERTIFIED COPY

MOTION Page 33

1	AND THEN POUND SAND OBJECTIONS BECAUSE IT IS OVERBROAD,
2	RIGHT, AND SO THEN YOU HAVE WASTED, YOU KNOW, 60 DAYS ON
3	THAT. AND THEN YOU SIT DOWN FOR THE FIRST TIME AND DO A
4	MEET AND CONFER ABOUT WHAT IS ACTUALLY AVAILABLE AND
5	WHAT IS GOING TO BE PRODUCED. I LIKE TO MOVE THAT EVENT
6	UP TO THE BEGINNING. SO THAT'S ONE THING.
7	I'M ALSO I ALSO THINK THAT WHAT I CALL
8	STAGED DISCOVERY CAN BE A USEFUL WAY TO GO IN SOME CASES
9	WHERE CORE DOCUMENTS ARE PRODUCED OR CORE INFORMATION IS
10	PRODUCED WITHOUT THERE BEING AN ASSUMPTION THAT
11	EVERYTHING HAS BEEN PRODUCED AND MAYBE SAMPLES ARE
12	PRODUCED AND THAT SORT THING. SO UNLIKE A SITUATION
13	WHERE YOU HAVE GOT A MAGISTRATE JUDGE WORRYING ABOUT THE
14	LITTLE STUFF OF THE DISCOVERY, TO ME, DISCOVERY IS
15	CENTRAL.
16	FOR TWO REASONS: NUMBER ONE, IT SHAPES
17	THE CASE. AND, NUMBER TWO, IT'S THE MOST EXPENSIVE PART
18	OF THE CASE. AND WE HAVE IN OUR COMPLEX PROGRAM AN
19	OBLIGATION TO SERVE THE GOALS OF THE PROGRAM, ONE OF
20	WHICH IS TO REDUCE LITIGATION COSTS. SO WE DO A LOT OF
21	HANDS-ON TAKING CARE OF DISCOVERY. AND AT A MINIMUM NO
22	DISCOVERY MOTION IS FILED BEFORE THERE IS AN INFORMAL
23	CONFERENCE WITH THE COURT, BUT IN A CASE THIS LARGE I
24	MANAGE IT BEFORE WE GET INTO THE ACTUAL SUBSTANCE OF THE
25	DISCOVERY.
26	NOW, HAVING SAID THAT, I AM AWARE THAT IN
27	THESE LARGER CASES SOMETIMES IT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE TO DO
28	STAGED DISCOVERY AND PARTIAL DISCOVERY BECAUSE

28

CERTIFIED COPY

MOTION Page 34

1	EVERYTHING NEEDS TO BE DONE BEFORE DEPOSITIONS CAN BE
2	TAKEN. SO I'M AWARE THAT THERE MAY BE ONLY SO MUCH THE
3	COURT CAN DO TO SAVE LITIGATION COSTS BY USING STAGED
4	DISCOVERY; NEVERTHELESS, YOU WILL KNOW WHAT YOU ARE
5	DOING FROM ME.
6	HAVING SAID THAT, THE FEDERAL COURT
7	STARTED FIRST SO, YOU KNOW, IF THEY ARE GOING FIRST WITH
8	THE DISCOVERY, I'M HAPPY FOR IT TO BE JUST BROUGHT INTO
9	THIS CASE. THAT'S OKAY. SO THOSE ARE SOME OBSERVATIONS
10	YOU MIGHT KEEP IN MIND.
11	MS. SIMONSEN: THAT'S VERY HELPFUL, YOUR
12	HONOR. THANK YOU.
13	THE COURT: I'LL BE GLAD TO BE THE MAGISTRATE
14	JUDGE FOR THE FEDERAL CASE TOO IF YOU WANT TO DO IT THAT
15	WAY. I'M ONLY SORT OF KIDDING, BECAUSE YOU COULD DO THE
16	DISCOVERY MANAGEMENT IN THIS CASE AND THEN PRODUCE IT IN
17	THE FEDERAL CASE, BUT THAT'S NOT THE WAY THINGS USUALLY
18	WORK.
19	ACTUALLY, YEARS AGO, I WILL SAY WHEN WE
20	HAD COORDINATED CASES, THE MDL'S SEEMED TO GET STARTED
21	LATER AND WE WOULD HAVE GOTTEN STARTED EARLIER WITH OUR
22	DISCOVERY. THAT'S NOT SO MUCH THE CASE ANY MORE. THE
23	FEDS HAVE SEEMED TO HAVE MOVED AHEAD WITH GETTING THE
24	MDL STARTED, SO ANYWAY. SO JUST SOME THINGS TO KEEP IN
25	MIND AS YOU ARE DRAFTING THE, QUOTE, UNQUOTE,
26	COORDINATION ORDER. AND NOW THAT I KNOW WHAT IT IS, I
27	WILL BE LOOKING AT IT DIFFERENTLY.

WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE PROBLEMS HERE.

CERTIFIED COPY

MOTION Page 35

1 WE'VE GOT JUDGE GONZALEZ ROGERS IS VERY COLLEGIAL. 2 JUDGE WEINSTEIN ORDERED THAT DOCUMENTS PRODUCED IN AN 3 MDL COULD NOT BE PRODUCED BY THE -- ORDERED THE 4 DEFENDANT NOT TO PRODUCE THE CASES IN A COORDINATED 5 CASE. 6 MR. CREED: YEAH, I THINK THAT'S THE KIND OF 7 THING WE'RE WORKING ON WITH DEFENDANTS. 8 THE COURT: YOU ARE NOT GOING TO HAVE THAT 9 HERE. OKAY. ALL RIGHT. WELL, WE HAVE A LOT TO DO, BUT 10 WE'VE GOT KIND OF AN INTERIM PERIOD HERE BEFORE WE CAN 11 START DECIDING KEY ISSUES. 12 WHEN WOULD YOU LIKE TO COME BACK FOR A 13 CHECK-IN? 14 MR. SCHMIDT: YOUR HONOR, WE MIGHT SUGGEST TWO 15 MONTHS. 16 MR. CREED: I THINK WE WOULD SUGGEST EARLIER, 17 YOUR HONOR, GIVEN SOME OF THESE ARE OTHER ISSUES THAT 18 ARE PERCOLATING WITH THE PRESERVATION ORDER AND A PROTECTIVE ORDER AND THE PLAINTIFF FACT SHEET MEET AND 19 20 CONFER. 21 THE COURT: WELL --22 MR. CREED: AND THE CSAM PRESERVATION ORDER. 23 THE COURT: HOW ABOUT SOMETHING THE FIRST WEEK 24 IN MAY, WOULD THAT MAKE SENSE? AND IF SOMETHING RIPENS 25 IN THE MEANTIME LIKE, FOR EXAMPLE, THE CSAM ORDER, YOU 26 KNOW, YOU WILL BE POSTING THE PROBLEMS AND WE'LL BE 2.7 FIGURING OUT. YOU KNOW, WE MIGHT HAVE JUST A CONFERENCE 28 TO DEAL JUST WITH THAT ISSUE, FOR EXAMPLE.

CERTIFIED COPY

MOTION Page 36

```
1
                   SO IF I COULD HAVE A DATE THE FIRST WEEK
 2
             WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO DO EITHER A 9:00 A.M. OR
 3
          DO WE HAVE ANYTHING IN THE AFTERNOON? WE'LL DO
    1:45.
    9:00 A.M. MAY 3 AT 9:00 A.M. OKAY.
 4
 5
                   FIRST OF ALL, I ALSO WANT TO APOLOGIZE
 6
    FOR CHANGING THE TIME OF TODAY'S HEARING, AND I
 7
    APPRECIATE YOUR ACCOMMODATION ON THAT. THANK YOU.
 8
              MR. VANZANT: YOUR HONOR, JOSEPH VAN ZANDT,
 9
   MAY 3RD WORKS FOR THE PLAINTIFFS, BUT ME, PERSONALLY,
10
    I'M SCHEDULED TO BE IN TRIAL IN THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
11
    CALIFORNIA FOR ABOUT A MONTH SO THAT WILL BE RIGHT IN
12
    THE MIDDLE OF THAT.
                         SO IN CASE I'M NOT HERE, THAT WILL
13
    BE THE REASON FOR THAT. THANK YOU.
14
              THE COURT: OKAY.
                                 THANK YOU.
                                             SURE.
15
                   MAY 3, 9:00 A.M.
              MR. SCHMIDT: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
16
17
              THE COURT: PLEASE FILE A JOINT STATUS --
18
    REPORT I AM GOING TO SAY BY NOON ON MAY 1.
                                                OKAY, NO
19
    LATER THAN NOON. SO PLAINTIFF LIAISON COUNSEL TO GIVE
20
             I WILL GET OUT A MINUTE ORDER TODAY WITH MY
21
    EXPRESSION OF WHAT WE DECIDED TODAY, OKAY, WHAT FUTURE
22
    THINGS ARE ON TAP.
                       OKAY.
                               VERY GOOD.
                                           THANK YOU.
23
              MR. CREED:
                          THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
24
                            THANK YOU, THANK YOU.
              MR. SCHMIDT:
25
              MS. SIMONSEN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
26
              MR. VANZANT:
                            THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
2.7
              MS. CLEOFE:
                           THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
28
                   (PROCEEDING ADJOURNED AT 10:10 A.M.)
```

MOTION

Social Media Cases JCCP5255, 03/22/2023 CERTIFIED COPY

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3	DEPARTMENT 12 HON. CAROLYN B. KUHL, JUDGE
4	IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT)
5	ADDICTION) 5)
6	CHRISTINA ARLINGTON SMITH, INDIVIDUALLY) AND AS SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO)
7	AND AS SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO
8)) JCCP 5255
9 L0	LALANI WALTON, DECEASED; HERIBERTO ARROYO, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO)
LO L1	ARRIANA JAILEEN ARROYO, DECEASED;) CHRISTAL ARROYO, INDIVIDUALLY, AND)
L2	JESSICA WILLIAMS, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS) SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO ZAIDEN)
L3	BALDWIN, DECEASED, PLAINTIFFS,)
L4	VS.) TIKTOK, INC.; BYTEDANCE, INC.;)
L5	DOES 1 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE,)
L6	DEFENDANTS.))
L7	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
L8	
L9	I, BUFORD J. JAMES, CSR 9296, OFFICIAL
20	REPORTER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
21	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE
22	FOREGOING PAGES 1 THROUGH 36, INCLUSIVE, COMPRISE A FULL,
23	TRUE, AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND
24	PROCEEDINGS HELD IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER ON WEDNESDAY
25	MARCH 22, 2023.
26	DATED THIS 24TH DAY OF MARCH, 2023.
27	Dufora Jo James
28	BUFORD J. JAMES, OFFICIAL REPORTER PRO TEM 9296

CERTIFIED COPY

MOTION

Index: --OOO--..ARMS

ADVANTAGE 14:28 15:1.3 **60** 11:18 33:2 **ADVANTAGED** 16:5 7 **ADVOCACY** 29:8,16,20 **--000--** 1:9 **AFFECT** 15:26 7TH 19:23 20:28 1 **AFTERNOON** 5:2 36:3 9 **AGREE** 17:18 **1** 9:16 36:18 **AGREEABLE** 22:14 **10** 5:5 9 10:5 **AGREED** 16:8 24:16,28 10:10 36:28 9296 1:5 **AGREEMENT** 18:15 20:20,24 **12** 1:4 9:00 36:2,4,15 **12(B)(6)** 7:24 **9:06** 1:6 **AHEAD** 4:25 11:26 16:2 34:23 **17** 3:13 ALGORITHM 28:22 Α 1:45 36:3 **ALGORITHMS** 27:24 28:18,19 **A.M.** 1:6 36:2,4,15,28 **ALIGNMENT** 29:10 2 **ABSOLUTELY** 26:20 **ALLEGED** 16:9 **2.550** 11:15 12:2 ABSORB 8:21 **ALLEN** 2:2,7 **20** 19:20 20:21,27 21:10,14,25 **ABUSE** 20:8 **ALLOWING** 4:20 24:25 **ACCEPTABLE** 5:13 22:9 **ALPHABET** 2:19 **2023** 1:3 3:13 ACCESS 20:2 **ANGELES** 1:3 **204** 15:25 **ACCOMMODATION** 36:7 **ANNOTATION** 23:4 **22** 1:3 **ACCOUNT** 12:3 19:2 27:27 **ANTICIPATE** 26:23 27:3 **23** 3:10 **ACCOUNTS** 14:26 16:10 20:15 APOLOGIES 26:12 230 9:20 **ACTING** 13:27 **APOLOGIZE** 22:22 36:5 **27** 3:11 **ACTION** 8:4 10:20,26 **APPEARANCES** 1:8,15,20 **ACTUAL** 29:4 33:24 **APPEARING** 1:16,17 3 **ADD** 3:5,11,16 4:8 **APPLY** 12:15 16:26 17:9 20:13 **3** 9:16 36:4,15 **ADD-ON** 3:6,21,26 APPOINTED 26:10 3RD 36:9 **ADDED** 3:13 APPROACH 18:16 **ADDITION** 9:10 APPROACHING 18:3,21 4 **ADDITIONAL** 3:17 **APPROPRIATELY** 13:27 **4TH** 9:17 **ADDRESS** 12:2 30:4 **ARBITRATOR** 24:16 ADDRESSES 5:11 **AREA** 30:27 5 **ADDRESSING** 6:16,17 AREAS 21:15,19,28 **5255** 1:1 **ADJOURNED** 36:28 ARGUE 21:8 **ADJUSTMENTS** 14:5 **ARGUMENT** 16:26 6 **ADOPT** 16:18 **ARISES** 6:23 **ADVANCE** 5:22 11:9 14:15 6 10:5 **ARMS** 25:21

CERTIFIED COPY

ASHLEY 2:11

ASSESSING 3:21

ASSESSMENT 23:13

ASSIGN 4:7

ASSIGNMENT 4:7

ASSIGNS 4:5

ASSISTANT 4:2

ASSUME 8:17

ASSUMING 6:18

ASSUMPTION 33:10

ASSURED 25:12

ATTACHED 3:14

ATTORNEYS 13:12.20 26:10.25

AUTHORITY 4:22 23:20,27

AVAILABILITY 32:24

AVOID 16:23 32:25

AWAIT 13:4

AWARE 7:14,25 20:28 21:1

30:14 33:26 34:2

В

BABY 26:15

BACK 8:12 10:8 16:18 22:21 23:8 26:17 35:12

BAD 10:15

BALANCE 18:1

BALANCING 17:8

BASED 16:8

BASICALLY 31:14

BASIS 5:16 6:8,14

BEASLEY 2:2,7

BED 26:13

BEGINNING 11:12 33:6

BEHALF 1:23,25,27 2:2,5,8,21,

25 4:27 27:1,2

BENEFICIAL 20:26

BENEFIT 23:13,19,22,25,26

24:10 25:1

BENEFITED 27:22

BERNSTEIN 2:5

BIG 10:27

BILLING 25:3

BILLS 24:5 25:3.10

BIRTH 26:14

BIT 5:25 6:22 9:14 20:24 24:23

BLAVIN 2:20,21

BLOCK 9:5

BOARD 21:21 22:1

BOX 4:3

BOYD 3:11

BOYLE 1:27

BRIEFING 5:22,26 10:16,20 30:3

BRIEFLY 14:2

BRINGING 27:19

BROAD 31:17

BROUGHT 15:21 34:8

BUFORD 1:5

BURDEN 18:2,8

BURLING 2:11,14

BUSINESS 10:21

BUSY 30:20

BYTEDANCE 2:16

С

CABRASER 2:5

CACI 15:25

CALENDAR 3:18 4:1,9 30:20

CALIFORNIA 1:3 4:22 6:20,28 7:8,11,13,20 11:15,19,20 36:11

CALL 25:14 33:7

CALLS 13:23

CARE 25:13 33:21

CAROLYN 1:4

CASE 1:1,2 3:5,7,8,9,12,23,25 4:3,4,8 5:9,21 8:5,19 11:1,20 12:10 15:12,16,20,22 16:27

17:10,11 20:4 23:9,21 24:8,27 26:1 32:14 33:17,18,23 34:9,14, 16,17,22 35:5 36:12

Index: ASHLEY..CLERK

MOTION

CASES 1:2,15 3:6,17,18,21,26 4:6,24 5:6,15,19 6:9,19 9:6,8 11:2,11 13:22 15:8 16:15 20:2,5 22:26 23:20 26:23,27 27:4,5 32:5, 12,17 33:8,27 34:20 35:4

CATCH 3:4

CATEGORIES 18:4,7,13

CENSUS 26:25

CENTER 1:23

CENTRAL 33:15

CETERA 19:2

CHALLENGE 8:28 11:18 12:7,9

30:28

CHALLENGES 6:4,8 12:5 13:11

CHANGE 7:1

CHANGED 7:1,12,13

CHANGING 36:6

CHECK-IN 35:13

CHECKED 4:3 7:2

CHEMISTRY 28:21

CHERISSE 1:25 4:27

CHHABRIA'S 24:1

CHILD 20:8

CHIOU 2:17,18

CHIP 25:22

CHRISTOPHER 2:18

CIRCUMSTANCE 6:23

CITE 5:6 12:5

CLAIM 10:24

CLARIFICATION 27:13

CLARITY 20:16

CLASS 23:25

CLEAN 15:17

CLEOFE 1:24,25 4:26,27 5:8

36:27

CLERK 3:20

CERTIFIED COPY

MOTION Index: CLIENT..DEFENDANTS'

CLIENT 25:24

CLIENTS 20:13

CMC'S 14:13

CMO 4:11,13 6:5 26:9

COLLECTIVE 24:14

COLLEGIAL 35:1

COMMENT 13:8

COMMENTS 19:10 30:23 32:2

COMMON 23:12,18,21,24,26 24:10,28 25:22 31:23

COMMUNICATING 11:9 14:19

COMMUNICATION 20:28

COMPANY 15:14

COMPLAINT 5:11,14 7:18,21 10:17 29:28 30:5,7,23

COMPLAINTS 5:12,15 7:19 9:23

COMPLEX 3:21 4:2,3,4 14:16 19:26 24:25 33:18

COMPLEXITY 8:2

COMPLIANCE 11:15 12:26 13:20

COMPLICATED 8:16

COMPLY 17:3

CONCERN 23:24 28:28 29:7,17, 18

CONCERNED 11:24 23:28 24:2

CONCERNS 18:8

CONFER 9:19,22 10:6 14:3 19:23,25 21:9 22:27,28 29:23 30:9,24 32:23 33:4 35:20

CONFERENCE 3:15,16 22:14 26:22 27:8 33:23 35:27

CONFERENCES 14:17,18

CONFERRAL 12:12 20:10

CONFERRING 19:12 21:1 27:14 29:12 31:4,18

CONFERS 13:23

CONFIDENT 11:12

CONFIDENTIAL 12:23

CONFIDENTIALITY 11:18 12:4,

7,9

CONFUSION 19:8

CONGRATULATIONS 26:19

CONSIDERATION 12:2,18

14:10,12 30:23

CONSTRUED 32:8

CONTEXT 32:8

CONTINUE 12:12 18:11

CONVINCE 25:18

COORDINATED 3:23 32:4,6

34:20 35:4

COORDINATING 13:22

COORDINATION 5:23 31:5,10,

12,13,20 32:2,9 34:26

CORE 33:9

CORRECT 23:3

CORRECTED 3:7,9

COSTS 33:20 34:3

COUNSEL 1:14 4:14 9:19,23 13:6 24:9,13,15 25:8,10,11,21 26:11.28 31:5 32:23 36:19

COUNTY 3:8

COUPLE 21:20 26:8

COURT 1:11,12,14,18 2:9,26 4:23 5:3,9,21 6:22,25 7:3,17,25, 27 8:18 9:13,25,28 10:11,27 11:5, 15,20,23,24,25 12:8,19,26 13:1,5, 13 14:1,4,14,22 16:3,21 17:5,15 18:1,2,16,24 19:14,16 21:11,17, 19,25 22:2,4,6,12,24 23:1,3,14,17 24:3,6,11,18,19,22,28 25:6,17,23 26:3,17,19,24,27 27:6,11,18 28:5, 7,27,28 30:2,6,11,26 31:10,11,15, 21 32:1,8,11,13,18,19 33:23 34:3, 6,13 35:8,21,23 36:14,17

COURT'S 12:2

COURTCONNECT 1:12,16,17

COURTROOM 1:18,21

COURTS 14:16 23:28 24:2 26:4

COVER 10:25 30:7.8

COVERED 22:26

COVINGTON 2:11,14

CREATE 6:15

CREATES 24:4

CREED 1:26,27 6:11,27 7:10 8:24 9:11,18,27 10:9 11:22 13:8 16:12 19:15 20:27 22:10 23:8,15 24:9,17,20 25:5,15,28 31:13 35:6, 16,22 36:23

CRIMINAL 10:19 20:1,2,4 21:7

CSAM 19:18 20:3,13,21 21:5,26 35:22,25

CSR 1:5

CUNNINGHAM 4:1

CURIOUS 3:28 17:7

D

DATA 28:20

DATE 9:9 10:14 36:1

DATES 22:27

DAUGHTER 28:20

DAY 10:13 27:10 29:2,4,24 30:20

DAY'S 3:16

DAYS 5:5 19:21 20:21,27 21:10,

14,26 28:1 33:2

DEAL 25:20 35:28

DEALING 20:6

DECIDE 8:9,19 13:10 21:20 22:2

DECIDED 5:21,27 36:21

DECIDES 8:6,18

DECIDING 14:19 35:11

DECISION 9:20 13:26

DECLINED 19:24

DEEMS 9:12

DEFENDANT 2:21,25 14:25 17:2,11 18:5 21:7 35:4

DEFENDANT'S 4:6 15:2 19:1

DEFENDANTS 2:9,12,14 8:20, 28 13:21 15:6,24 16:4,21 17:3,6 19:5 20:2,23 21:5 27:14 28:24 30:25,27 31:5,16,26 35:7

DEFENDANTS' 17:24

JCCP5255, 03/22/2023

Social Media Cases MOTION CERTIFIED COPY Index: DEFENSE..FAIR **DEFENSE** 4:13 25:11 28:26 **ENACTS** 16:23 **DISCUSSED** 10:18 16:9 29:27 **DEFERENTIAL** 8:10 30:27 **DISCUSSING** 4:28 19:19 **END** 5:1 17:12 **DEGREE** 28:21 **DISCUSSION** 18:17 21:16 22:8 **ENDED** 32:19 **DEGTYAREVA** 2:23,24 **DISLIKES** 28:1 **ENFORCED** 24:15 **DELINEATE** 18:3 **DISMISS** 6:17 **ENSURING** 24:3 ENTER 10:4 12:1 22:24 23:1,27 **DELINEATED** 18:14 DISMISSAL 5:27 31:27,28 **DEMAND** 18:28 **DISTINCTION** 12:11 **ENTERED** 8:25 10:3 14:6 23:23 **DEMURRER** 6:16 9:1,8,24 29:7, **DISTRIBUTE** 21:7 **ENTIRE** 7:21 17,19 30:3,13 **DISTRIBUTING** 20:8 **DEMURRERS** 5:16,22 7:20 **ENTIRETY** 7:18 28:13 **DISTRIBUTION** 21:4 27:23 **EQUIVALENT** 18:20 **DISTRICT** 36:10 **DEPARTMENT** 1:4 **ESI** 13:5 **DOCUMENT** 12:9,26 15:4 16:1 **DEPOSED** 15:14 **EUROPE** 10:2 19:9 **DEPOSITION** 15:15.18 **DOCUMENTATION** 32:24 **EVENING** 19:11 **DEPOSITIONS** 25:23 34:1 **DOCUMENTS** 15:16 17:12 18:4, **EVENT** 33:5 **DEPTH** 25:2 13 32:28 33:9 35:2 **EVERYBODY'S** 14:28 **DESIGNED** 17:24 **DOUBT** 17:12 **EVIDENCE** 15:2.28 30:12 **DESTROYED** 15:19 17:16 **DOUBTS** 23:19 **EVOLVED** 11:19 **DESTRUCTION** 15:23 **DRAFT** 4:28 31:16,17 **EXCEPTION** 20:4 **DETERMINE** 4:4 **DRAFTED** 11:25 **EXERCISE** 29:16.20 **DEVASTATING** 15:26 DRAFTING 34:25 **EXPECT** 4:28 5:20 **DIEGO** 3:8 **DRAW** 12:12 **EXPENSIVE** 33:17 **DIFFERENCE** 17:13,27 **DRINKER** 2:16 **EXPERIENCE** 28:16,23 29:26 **DIFFERENCES** 14:4 18:18 **DUE** 18:7 **EXPERT** 12:23,25,26 13:9 **DIFFERENTLY** 7:23 34:27 **EXPERTS** 12:20,28 13:1 Ε **DIRECTED** 16:19 **EXPRESS** 23:18 **DISAGREEMENT** 21:15.19.28 **EARLIER** 9:8,9,14,24 34:21 **EXPRESSION** 36:21 35:16 **DISCLOSED** 13:2 **EXTENT** 25:26 **EARLY** 12:27 **DISCLOSER** 13:9 **EXTREME** 20:6 **EFFECT** 25:19,25 **DISCLOSURE** 12:19,24,27 **EXTREMELY** 24:6 **EFFORT** 20:20 **DISCONNECT** 6:15

ELABORATE 25:7,9

ELECT 6:19

ELECTED 10:25

ELECTION 22:25

EMILY 26:10 27:2

EMMEL 2:7

EMPLOYEE 15:13,14

FACILITIES 20:3

FACT 6:19 19:9 22:7 35:19

F

FACTOR 15:22,23

FAEGRE 2:16

FAIR 11:10

DISCOVERABILITY 16:25

18:19

DISCOVERABLE 17:9

DISCOVERY 12:10 14:17 17:9, 15,24 31:23,25 32:18,20,21,22, 25,26 33:8,14,21,22,25,28 34:4,8, 16,22

DISCUSS 10:23 29:23

FRIDAY 10:2,3,7 31:10

FULL 4:24

MOTION CERTIFIED COPY Index: FAMILIAR..IDEA **HAPPENED** 15:7 **FAMILIAR** 3:23 24:9.10 **FULLY** 18:10 20:16.25 **FASHION** 18:14 **FUND** 23:19,22,25,26 24:10 25:1 **HAPPENING** 16:17 **FEBRUARY** 3:10,13 **FUTURE** 26:18 36:21 **HAPPY** 12:12 34:8 FEDERAL 7:25 11:4 13:4,13 **HARBOR** 16:4 17:6 G 16:3,21,23,24 17:8,25,28 18:18, **HARD** 29:9 23 20:1,6,7,12 23:28 24:2 26:4 31:21 32:13 34:6,14,17 **HATE** 22:21 **GAL** 22:9 **FEDS** 34:23 **HEAD** 7:4 **GARAGE** 15:18 **FEE** 3:22 **HEAR** 3:2 32:14 **GARRETT** 1:22 **FEELS** 27:21 **HEARING** 10:13,14 21:22 26:12, GAS 23:21 24:8 13 27:22 28:5,7 36:6 **FEES** 25:23 **GEE** 21:22 **HEIMANN** 2:5 FIGURE 13:6,19 17:3 **GENERAL** 12:6 **HELD** 1:11 FIGURING 35:27 **GIVE** 5:5 19:19,28 26:14 29:14 HELPFUL 3:3,28 17:20 34:11 36:19 **FILE** 4:24,28 8:21 12:25,27 36:17 **HELPING** 25:24 **GLAD** 13:6 14:23 22:6 24:20 **FILED** 3:11,19 4:15 7:15 8:13 34:13 12:7 26:23,27 27:5 33:22 HIGH-LEVEL 15:13 **GOALS** 33:19 **FILING** 3:22 30:1 HIGHLIGHT 20:5 **GONZALEZ** 5:20,26 6:16 7:22 **FINAL** 31:3 HIGHLIGHTS 21:14 8:3,5,19 9:15,20 10:19 11:2 27:20 **FINALLY** 19:24 **HIXON** 12:14 28:7 30:15 35:1 **FINDING** 3:20 4:23 **HON** 1:4 **GOOD** 1:14,24,26 2:1,4,10,13,15, 17,20,23,26 4:26 5:17 9:5 10:11, **FINDS** 17:15 HONESTLY 14:27 30:28 15 19:14,16 21:24 22:6 30:26 **FINE** 3:1 8:4,6,10,24,27 9:2 14:14 36:22 **HONOR** 1:24,26 2:1,4,10,13,15, 21:25 22:12 30:11 17,20,23 4:26 5:8 6:12,13,15 8:24 GOOGLE 2:18 5:20,26 8:20 9:16 9:5,12,18,27 10:10,23 11:27 13:8 FINISHES 11:5 28:7 14:7 16:12,19,26 17:1,18 18:15, **FIRM** 27:1 19 19:4,5,15,22,28 20:5,18,26,27 **GOVERNMENT** 20:3 21:24 22:3,5,21,23 23:8,10,16 **FIRMS** 27:3 **GRANTED** 5:28 24:17 25:16 26:7,21,26 27:7,9 **FOCUS** 6:20 28:26 29:2,14,15,22 30:10,22 **GROUND** 31:14 31:3,7 34:12 35:14,17 36:8,16,23, **FOLDS** 25:21 **GROUNDWORK** 31:22 25,26,27 **FOLKS** 3:2 4:23 **GUARDRAILS** 29:13,19 **HONOR'S** 12:17 14:11 29:25 **FOLLOW** 8:11 **GUIDANCE** 11:28 14:7 **HONORER** 14:21 23:7 **FORM** 5:12,15 14:24 16:13 18:28 **HOUSEKEEPING** 4:16 19:6,7,12 Н **HUGE** 15:22 **FORMAL** 16:19 **HAND** 8:15 9:15 10:1 **HUNDRED** 27:4 **FORWARD** 19:13 22:7 26:16 HANDLE 8:2 **HUNDREDS** 27:5 27:6,13 28:17 **HANDLED** 4:18 FRANKLY 7:24 8:22 11:16 27:23 **HUNG** 7:21 **HANDLING** 11:1 **FREE** 25:19,25

HANDS-ON 33:21

HAPPEN 3:24 11:11

ı

IDEA 26:26 28:22 30:17 32:27

CERTIFIED COPY

IDEAS 10:7

IDENTIFICATION 4:24 19:3

IDENTIFY 1:19 9:6,7,22,23

IDIOSYNCRATIC 6:21

IMAGINE 6:25 11:16

IMPACT 9:21

IMPLICATIONS 32:11

IMPORTANT 3:1 14:27

IMPROVIDENTLY 5:28

INCLUDE 22:18

INCLUDED 3:17

INCLUDING 10:19

INCORPORATE 29:28

INDIVIDUAL 27:5

INFORMAL 14:17 33:22

INFORMALLY 16:17

INFORMATION 16:16 18:4,7,14 19:2,7 20:3 21:3,4 32:28 33:9

INITIAL 9:1 23:22 26:12 30:5 31:17

INITIATE 14:24

INSISTED 15:17

INSTANCE 12:8 20:1 31:20

INSTINCT 7:20

INSTRUCTION 15:26,28

INSTRUCTIVE 12:16

INTEND 18:9

INTENTION 11:28

INTEREST 20:11,15 27:10 30:2

INTERESTED 29:23

INTERESTING 15:5

INTERFERES 31:2

INTERIM 16:14 35:10

INTERNAL 26:24

INTERNALLY 24:21,22 29:27

INTERNET 16:10

INVOLVED 4:6 32:17

INVOLVING 23:9

ISSUE 3:20 6:22 10:27 11:3 12:13,20,28 13:9,16 14:10,22 16:2,20 17:14 19:20,21,24,25,27 20:24 21:2 22:22 26:5 27:9 30:25 31:3,25 35:28

ISSUES 11:6 13:24,25 14:8,11 18:9 20:10,17 21:17 22:2 26:8,21 29:5,24 30:3 31:19,23 35:11,17

ITEM 22:25

ITEMS 3:4 22:24

J

JA 3:22

JAM 9:7

JAMES 1:5

JANUARY 3:11

JCCP 4:21 6:28 17:23 26:11,25

JEFFCOTT 26:10 27:2

JENNIFER 2:7

JESSE 1:26

JOINT 3:3,14 11:7,11 21:28 30:19 36:17

JONATHAN 2:21

JOSEPH 2:2 26:7 31:4 36:8

JUDGE 1:4 4:1,2 6:16 7:22 8:3,5 10:19 11:1 12:14 23:23 24:1 25:2 27:20 30:15 33:13 34:14 35:1,2

JUDGES 24:26

JUDGMENT 27:24

JULY 9:16,17

JURISDICTION 13:18

JURISDICTIONS 18:21

JURY 15:27

JUSTICE 28:12

JUSTICES 28:10

JUUL 31:19

Κ

KAGAN 28:12

KEEPING 3:27

KELLY 2:4

KEY 35:11

KIDDING 34:15

KIESEL 1:25

KIND 8:8 11:12 18:18 29:1 35:6,

MOTION

Index: IDEAS..LONG

10

KINDS 28:2

KUHL 1:4

L

L.A. 1:12,16,17

LADDON 2:15,16

LARA 1:22

LARGE 11:11 15:24 33:23

LARGELY 31:21

LARGER 33:27

LAW 1:23,25 8:2 11:19 18:19

20:1,7 30:12 31:2 32:3

LAY 31:14

LEAD 26:11

LEADERSHIP 4:10,12 23:10

LEARNING 28:21

LEAVE 10:7 26:15

LEAVING 10:1

LENGTHY 13:23

LETTERS 16:17

LIAISON 4:13 36:19

LIEFF 2:5

LIFTED 32:20

LIKES 27:28

LIST 3:4

LITIGATED 15:8

LITIGATING 15:9

LITIGATION 24:14,25 28:17

33:20 34:3

LODGED 4:20 10:17 12:8

LONG 8:22,27 16:9

CERTIFIED COPY

LONGER 20:25

LOOKED 25:6,10 28:11

LOOM 15:23

LOS 1:3

LOT 14:16,18 33:20 35:9

M

MACHINE 28:20

MADE 20:4

MAGISTRATE 12:14 33:13

34:13

MAINSTREAM 24:24

MAINTAINING 20:14

MAJORITY 7:8

MAKE 4:23 13:8,19 18:28 19:7 20:19 22:4 28:24 29:1,3,6 33:27

35:24

MAKERS 13:26

MAKES 31:26

MAKING 6:7,9 12:24 13:27 31:22

MANAGE 29:10 33:24

MANAGEMENT 5:9 23:9 24:28

34:16

MARCH 1:3 19:23 20:28

MARQUEZ 1:22

MASTER 5:11,14 10:17 29:28

30:5

MATERIAL 12:23 20:8

MATERNITY 26:15

MATTER 6:1

MCNABB 2:4,5

MDL 5:22,24 7:28 10:16,18 12:14 13:6 14:6 17:23 18:16 19:10 24:24 25:7,8 30:7 31:5,6,10,15

34:24 35:3

MDL'S 34:20

MEANING 32:3

MEANTIME 29:25 35:25

MEDIA 1:2,15,23 4:6 20:15

MEDIATOR 22:13,15

MEET 10:6 13:23 17:24 19:23,25 21:9 29:23 30:24 33:4 35:19

MEETING 19:11 21:1 27:13 31:4,

18

MENTIONED 4:17,21 26:21

27:10,25

MERITS 21:8 29:5

MESSAGE 21:21 22:1

META 2:11,14 3:8,11 6:14

META'S 16:15

METHOD 21:6

MICROPHONE 2:28 3:1

MID-DAY 10:7

MIDDLE 36:12

MIND 18:23 27:15 34:10,25

MINDFUL 14:6

MINDS 28:11

MINIMUM 19:1 33:21

MINOR 4:20

MINUTE 3:9,13,24 5:4 36:20

MISSED 22:23

MODIFICATIONS 11:6

MOMENT 13:10

MONDAY 19:11

MONTH 8:17,20,21 9:2 36:11

MONTHS 5:24 35:15

MORGAN 27:2,3

MORNING 1:14,24,26 2:1,4,10, 13,15,17,20,23 4:26 19:13,26

MOTION 6:17 33:22

MOVE 9:8 11:3 33:5

MOVED 34:23

MOVING 4:16 9:1 19:13 22:7,27,

28

MUNGER 2:21,24

Ν

NAVIGATE 13:15

NBC 11:22,23

NEGLIGENCE 10:24

NEGOTIATE 16:2,7

NEGOTIATING 16:13 17:22

MOTION

Index: LONGER..OPPOSED

NETWORKS 11:21

NON-CALIFORNIA 6:23,26

7:14,15

NON-DISCLOSED 13:1

NON-DUPLICATIVE 31:23

NONETHELESS 25:4

NONPARTIES 11:17

NOON 36:18,19

NORTHERN 36:10

NOTED 1:8 18:19

NOTICE 15:15 36:20

NOTICED 23:10 28:5

NU 3:8

NUMBER 1:1 3:8 4:11,13 5:10 6:5 13:24 23:9 26:23 28:1 32:2

33:16,17

NUMBERS 5:13 27:7

0

OBJECTIONS 33:1

OBLIGATION 33:19

OBLIGATIONS 17:25 18:22

OBSERVATIONS 34:9

OBVIATES 18:17

OCCURRED 12:22

OCTOBER 8:13

ODD 15:17

OHIO 32:14

OLSON 2:24

OPEN 1:11,12 11:24 18:16 29:12

32:18,21

OPINIONS 24:1

OPPORTUNITY 20:16 30:10

OPPOSED 6:20 12:8 18:1

CERTIFIED COPY

Index: OPTIONS..PROPOSED

MOTION

OPTIONS 28:3

ORDER 3:9,10,12,13,16,17,19 4:19,23,28 5:4,9 8:25 10:5 11:4 12:1 13:5 15:4 16:3,20,22,24,27 17:19,21 18:3 19:20 21:13,14,26, 27 22:10 23:9,22 31:6,7,10,12,13, 14,20 34:26 35:18,19,22,25 36:20

ORDERED 3:12 35:2,3

ORDERING 25:14,27

ORDERS 3:20,24 13:20 15:3 17:3,4 24:28

ORGANIZING 4:12

OUTSIDE-THE-RECORD 29:16

OUTSTANDING 14:11

OVERBROAD 32:26 33:1

Р

PAGES 21:22

PAID 24:4 25:12

PANISH 1:27

PARAGRAPH 23:5

PARAGRAPHS 10:5 21:20

23:11

PARAMETERS 29:23

PART 5:18 33:17

PARTIAL 33:28

PARTIES 4:27 12:17 13:1 14:3,5

29:10

PARTNER 15:9

PARTY 9:1 12:24 32:27,28

PARTY'S 31:24

PASS 26:11

PAUL 2:13 6:13

PAY 25:22

PEOPLE 28:13

PERCENT 11:18

PERCOLATING 35:18

PERFORMING 26:24

PERIOD 20:25 35:10

PERSONALLY 36:9

PERSPECTIVE 9:4 18:20 19:28

20:11 22:26

PHONE 13:23

PICK 6:23,26

PLAINTIFF 14:24 15:1 16:5,13 18:28 19:3,6,7,8 22:7 35:19 36:19

PLAINTIFF'S 18:26 25:9

PLAINTIFFS 1:23,25,28 2:3,6,8 4:11,20,27 5:15 6:11,19,20,24,26, 28 7:6,15 9:7,14,19,21,22 10:25 12:5,13 13:12 15:27 16:16 17:22, 23 18:6,10,15 19:10,12,23 20:11, 23 24:9,13 26:8 29:13 31:16 36:9

PLAINTIFFS' 1:21 22:25 23:10 26:28 30:4

PLANNING 6:9

PLATFORMS 30:2

PLEADING 6:3,8 31:1

PLEADINGS 29:21

POINT 6:2,27 12:11 13:3 14:9 22:22 28:16 32:14

POSITION 14:8 18:8

POSITIONS 22:18

POSSESSING 20:8

POSSESSION 20:14

POSTING 21:28 35:26

POTENTIAL 25:19 29:24

POTENTIALLY 24:6 27:5 31:6

POUND 33:1

PREFER 9:5

PREFERRABLE 25:27

PREJUDICE 23:12,16,17

PREMATURE 14:8

PREMATURELY 14:12

PREMOTION 14:18

PREPARE 8:28

PREPARED 4:20 6:14

PRESENT 13:16,25 14:10

PRESENTED 27:28

PRESENTING 20:17

PRESERVATION 14:22 15:3,4 16:1,2,13,20 17:19,21,24 18:3,22 19:7.18 20:21 21:3,12,27 35:18.

22

PRESERVE 14:26 18:9 21:5

PRESERVED 15:2

PRESERVING 18:6

PRINTED-OUT 23:4

PRIOR 5:19 27:22

PRIORITY 19:19

PRIVACY 18:8

PRIVATE 25:13.14.26

PROBLEM 11:12 17:17 25:25

PROBLEMS 24:12 34:28 35:26

PROCEDURAL 13:18 31:2

PROCEDURE 22:9 32:5

PROCEDURES 12:15

PROCEED 6:14 9:11 14:23 19:5

21:16 22:8

PROCEEDING 1:11 7:16 13:13,

14 16:22 31:28 32:4 36:28

PROCEEDINGS 3:23 11:24 13:25 17:26

PROCESS 5:14 13:24 16:19 26:24 32:15

PRODUCE 15:16 34:16 35:4

PRODUCED 12:10 17:16 33:5,9,

10,11,12 35:2,3

PRODUCING 17:11

PRODUCT 13:3

PRODUCTION 21:4

PROFILE 14:24 16:6,13,16 18:28

19:6

PROGRAM 33:18,19

PROHIBITS 20:7

PROPORTIONALITY 17:28

PROPOSAL 20:20 21:15

PROPOSE 14:5,9 31:7

PROPOSED 3:10,16,19 4:19

CERTIFIED COPY

MOTION Index: PROPOUND..SCIENCE

5:12 8:16 17:21 19:20 21:13,14, 26,27 22:10 28:9 31:5,10

PROPOUND 31:25

PROPOUNDING 32:24

PROTECT 17:1

PROTECTION 15:6

PROTECTIVE 11:3 12:1 13:5,20 35:19

PROTOCOL 13:5

PROVIDE 19:4 26:26 28:27 32:9

PROVIDED 12:23

PSEUDONYMOUSLY 4:21

PUBLIC 12:6 PULL 2:28 9:9

PURPOSES 16:14 30:23

PUSH 22:16

PUT 5:3,24 10:12

PUTTING 21:21

Q

QUESTION 17:7 26:22

QUESTIONS 3:26

QUICKLY 8:14 14:27 16:11

QUOTE 34:25

R

RAISE 4:18 14:12 26:9 31:19

RAISED 24:20 28:26

RAISING 14:8

RANGE 7:8

RAVIPUDI 1:27

REACH 18:15 20:20,24

REACHING 29:10

READ 24:1 30:7

REALIZE 7:22

REASON 3:28 7:17 11:19 36:13

REASONS 20:9 33:16

RECEIVE 19:10

RECEIVES 4:2

RECOGNIZING 12:14

RECOMMENDED 27:27

RECORD 29:20 30:13

RECORDS 12:7

REDLINED 21:14,27

REDO 9:28 10:1

REDUCE 33:20

REFERENCE 31:11

REFERENCED 5:6

REFERRED 15:24

REFERRING 19:6

REGARD 14:26 17:8

RELATED 23:12 26:9

RELEVANCE 18:1

REMAINDER 27:16

REMAINING 14:11 21:17

REMAND 32:13

REMIND 1:16

REMOTELY 1:5

REPLY 8:13 9:2

REPORT 3:3 11:7 22:19 36:18

REPORTER 1:5,18 25:23

REPORTS 11:11

REQUEST 2:27

REQUESTED 17:14

REQUESTING 32:27

REQUESTS 16:16

REQUIRE 14:4 21:5

REQUIRED 12:25 20:10

RESEARCH 29:4

RESERVE 31:27

RESPECT 3:6 6:3 12:1,3,4 16:24

19:8 20:13,21 32:11

RESPOND 14:2

RESPONDING 16:15 32:28

RESPONSIBILITY 14:25

REST 26:14

RESTRICT 31:24

RETIRED 15:11,15

REVIEWED 24:5,6

REVIEWING 25:2

REVIEWS 4:4

RIDER 25:19,25

RIPE 14:10

RIPENS 35:24

ROAD 29:3

ROGERS 6:17 7:22 8:3,5 10:19

27:20 30:15 35:1

ROGERS' 11:2

RULE 11:15 12:2,5

RULES 11:25 12:15 13:18,26 16:24 17:8 18:23 31:15 32:8

RUN 3:4

S

SAFE 16:4

SAFER 17:6

SAMPLES 33:11

SAN 3:8

SAND 33:1

SATISFIED 7:26

SATURDAY 15:18

SAVE 34:3

SCENARIO 28:8

SCENARIOS 28:14

SCHEDULE 5:12,18 6:4 8:12,16

22:25 27:16 30:18 31:9

SCHEDULED 36:10

SCHEDULING 22:22

SCHMIDT 2:13,14 6:13 7:3,5 9:4 10:10,23 22:21 23:7 28:25 30:9

35:14 36:16,24

SCIENCE 27:10 29:2,4,24 30:20

CERTIFIED COPY

MOTION Index: SCIENTIST..SUGGESTS

SCIENTIST 28:20

SCREEN 27:26 28:4 29:9,25

30:6,8

SEAL 12:25

SEALING 12:3

SEASON 32:21

SEATED 2:27

SEC 11:15

SECTION 9:20

SEEK 16:22

SEEKS 31:14

SEES 4:5

SELDOM 32:18

SELECTING 5:15

SELECTION 29:8

SENSE 6:7,10 22:4 29:1,3,7 31:26 32:6 33:27 35:24

SEPARATE 19:9,21

SEPARATELY 19:17

SERVE 33:19

SET 5:26 7:23 10:12,14 12:15 19:24,25 23:22 25:7,8

SETS 19:12

SETTLEMENT 23:25

SEX 20:8

SHAKING 7:3

SHAPES 33:16

SHEA 1:27

SHEET 19:9 35:19

SHEETS 22:7

SHORT 5:12,14

SHORTENING 8:27 9:2,10

SHOTS 27:26 28:4 29:9,25 30:7,

SHOWING 21:27

SIDE 1:21 8:17 11:8 13:12 18:26

21:21,22 24:3 28:26 30:5

SIDE'S 11:10 25:12

SIDES 16:8 17:20 20:15

SIGN 3:12

SIGNED 3:19 4:11,13,14 5:10 6:5

12.27

SIGNIFICANT 20:10 23:19

SIMILAR 16:22 31:7

SIMONSEN 2:10,11 11:27 14:2, 21 17:18 19:4,22 21:24 22:3,5

34:11 36:25

SIMPLE 28:8 29:18

SIMPLY 14:7 29:7

SIT 32:14 33:3

SITUATION 11:16 17:10 24:4

25:1 33:12

SITUATIONS 13:17

SMALL 17:11 22:22

SMOOTH 11:7,13 13:24

SMOOTHED 6:18

SNAP 2:22,25

SOCAL 23:21 24:8

SOCIAL 1:2,15,22 4:6 20:14

SONSINI 2:18

SORT 14:24 15:5 20:6 31:14,22

33:12 34:15

SPEAK 4:17 16:14 22:15 27:1

30:16 32:9

SPEAKING 1:19 2:27

SPECIFIC 18:4.13 27:7 32:3

SPECULATE 17:13

SPECULATED 8:26

SPOKEN 7:23

STAFF 10:13

STAGE 31:1

STAGED 33:8,28 34:3

STAGES 32:22

STAND 2:28

STAND-IN 3:22

STANDARDS 16:25,26

STANDPOINT 5:17

START 35:11

STARTED 5:23 12:21 34:7,20,

21,24

STARTING 1:21

STATE 7:26 13:1,13 14:4 17:25

18:2,19,22 30:11 31:2,21 32:3

STATE'S 8:1

STATEMENT 3:15

STATES 6:21 7:9

STATUS 3:15,16 22:14,19 26:21

27:7 36:17

STATUTE 10:20 20:6,12

STAY 26:4 32:20

STIP 19:20

STIPULATION 21:13,26

STRICT 30:12

STRICTURES 7:19

STRIKE 10:5

STRIKES 24:3

STRUCK 23:5.11

STRUCTURE 4:10.12

STRUCTURED 8:9

STRUCTURING 8:5

STUFF 33:14

STUPID 30:17

SUBBED 15:12,13

SUBJECT 20:22

SUBMIT 12:17

SUBMITTED 3:10 5:4 31:9

SUBMITTING 22:11

SUBPOENA 15:16

SUBSIDIARY 11:22.23

SUBSTANCE 33:24

SUFFICIENT 20:22

SUFFICIENTLY 11:9

SUGGEST 22:9 35:14,16

SUGGESTED 9:11

SUGGESTS 22:1

CERTIFIED COPY

MOTION Index: SUPERVISING..WONDERFUL

SUPERVISING 4:2

SUPPRESSION 15:25

SUPREME 5:21 8:18 11:20 28:5,

6

SUSPECT 9:20

SWEEPS 10:25

SYNC 16:28

Т

TAKING 33:21

TALK 6:5 22:20 24:21,22 27:19

28:25

TALKED 4:19 18:27 19:17 27:20

TALKING 28:14 29:2

TAP 36:22

TARIFA 2:15

TAUGHT 7:7

TEED 12:13

TEES 5:18

TEN 5:5

TERMINOLOGY 17:28

TERMS 11:8 17:9 27:14,15 29:10

31:17

THANKFULLY 15:21 24:11

THEORETICAL 18:18

THEORY 5:27

THING 4:17 5:17 7:28 12:22 14:20 28:4 32:16 33:6,12 35:7

THINGS 11:7 14:15 16:10 22:16

27:26 28:2 32:25 34:17,24 36:22 **THINKING** 8:8 12:21 14:15 16:3

24:12 28:3,23 THOUGHT 8:26,27 13:3,16 29:6

THOUGHTS 8:23

THROWING 10:21

TIKTOK 2:16

TIME 1:6 3:15,22 4:18 5:7,19,20 6:6 7:2 9:5 11:8,13 14:9 15:21 19:17 20:25 22:13 27:10,19 28:6

33:3 36:6

TIMELINE 27:15

TIMES 15:27

TIMING 29:18

TITLE 1:8

TODAY 3:18 36:20,21

TODAY'S 5:4 36:6

TOLD 30:17

TOLLES 2:21,24

TOMORROW 19:13,25 21:1,8

TOOL 17:20

TOTALLY 28:19 32:26

TOUCH 28:2

TRACK 3:7.27

TRANSPARENT 18:5,10,11

TRIAL 15:24 36:10

TRUST 25:28

TUBE 2:19 28:8

TUESDAY 1:3

TURNING 29:15

TURNS 29:8

TWO-WEEK 10:1

TYPE 20:2 28:4

U

ULTIMATELY 17:14

UNABLE 18:9

UNAWARE 25:19

UNCOMFORTABLE 24:7 28:24

UNDERSTAND 8:4 12:6 14:3

20:12 21:2 28:13,15,19 29:26 30:2

30:2

UNDERSTANDING 7:6 16:21

UNDERSTOOD 11:27 23:15

UNDOUBTEDLY 24:12

UNDUE 18:2

UNLIKE 33:12

UNQUOTE 34:25

UPDATE 19:5

USC 26:1

USER 14:26 28:16,23 29:26

٧

VACATION 8:18 10:2

VAN 2:1,2 26:7,20 27:12 29:22

31:3,4 36:8

VANZANT 30:22 36:8,26

VERSUS 3:8,11 5:20,26 8:20

9:15 23:5 28:7 32:13

VET 20:16

VICTIMS 1:23

VICTORIA 2:24

VIDEOS 27:28 28:9

VIEW 28:10 29:16

VIEWS 11:10 20:22

VIRTUE 20:14

W

WAIT 11:4

WAIVE 10:20

WAKE 11:26

WANTED 6:5 7:17 23:11 26:8,11

27:12

WANTING 28:15

WASTED 33:2

WAYS 13:19

WEDNESDAY 15:18

WEEK 5:1 9:9 10:8 35:23 36:1

WEEKS 9:3

WEINSTEIN 35:2

WIFE 15:17

WILLFUL 15:25

WILSON 2:18

WISHES 29:25

WONDERFUL 5:3

Social Media Cases **MOTION** JCCP5255, 03/22/2023 **CERTIFIED COPY** Index: WONDERING..ZANDT **WONDERING** 10:18 **WORD** 32:7 WORDS 17:28 21:18 **WORK** 11:5 13:3,4,6,21 14:16 20:27 25:15 26:17 27:16 34:18 **WORKING** 13:21 15:8 16:12 26:25 31:25 35:7 WORKS 8:8 31:8 36:9 **WORLD** 16:10 WORRYING 33:13 Υ YEARS 24:26 34:19 YESTERDAY 4:15 Ζ **ZANDT** 2:1,2 26:7,20 27:12 29:22 31:3,4 36:8